AN OVERVIEW OF PERUVIAN ARCHAEOLOGY (1976–1986)

Richard L. Burger

Department of Anthropology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520

NATIONALITY AND ARCHAEOLOGY IN PERU

Peruvian archaeology is an international field in which divergent schools of research coexist. The following is an overview of the various currents and accomplishments of research in Peruvian archaeology over the last decade (see also 138, 199, 279, 320, 336). Because of the different national traditions of archaeological practice in Peru, the situation is particularly complex. Most projects authorized by Peru's Instituto Nacional de Cultura are initiated by investigators from the United States, but the archaeology carried out in Peru has remained resistant to many of the theoretical trends in processual Anglo-American archaeology. Nomothetic laws and ecological and evolutionary "explanations" of culture change never generated the enthusiasm in Peru that they did in the United States. The alleged dichotomy between "scientific archaeology" and historical inquiry championed by Binford and others likewise found little support among archaeologists working in Peru.

As currently practiced, much of Peruvian archaeology has a distinctive character derived from its unique subject matter, particular intellectual history, political context, and the dominant figures who have shaped it. In his classification of regional archaeological traditions, Bruce Trigger (358) distinguished between the imperialist type of archaeology, characteristic of the contemporary United States and Britain, and the nationalistic type, widespread in the Third World. In Peru, as in Mexico, the foundations of autochthonous archaeological research. Ever since the pioneering work of Julio C. Tello and Luis Valcarcel, Peruvian archaeology has been linked to history and sociocultural anthropology and, though rarely stated, one of its goals has been the forging of a shared national identity and the strengthening of patriotic sentiment. The prehispanic civilizations continue to provide a sense of national dignity and purpose, one often lacking in Peru's currently unfavorable economic and political circumstances. Archaeology is an inexhaustible source of symbols for everything from the political parties (e.g. the Chavin eagle of APRA) to soft drinks (e.g. Incakola, Chavinkola). As is not the case in the United States, archaeology has a special place among Peru's historical and social sciences since, as historian Pablo Macera (184) quipped, the Peruvian past without recourse to prehistory is little more than an account of a colonial factory.

Scholars from the United States have played an especially important role in shaping contemporary Peruvian archaeology. In fact, the generation of Peruvians currently in positions of authority in the archaeological community, particularly Duccio Bonavia, Rosa Fung, Luis Lumbreras, Ramiro Matos, and Rogger Ravines, were strongly influenced by North American specialists in Peruvian archaeology (368). When Tello died in 1947, an intellectual vacuum was left that was only partly filled by Rebeca Carrión Cachot and Jorge Muelle. During the following decades, scholars such as John Rowe, Richard Schaedel, Edward Lanning, and John Murra were responsible for many of the ideas that defined discourse within the field. Though representing distinctive and sometimes incompatible perspectives, these scholars were deeply committed to an archaeology integrated with history and ethnography. Their common academic orientation was combined with a profound personal involvement with Peru and its people. This is reflected in the long periods of time they spent there, their teaching and lecturing at Peruvian universities, and the availability of their articles in Peruvian scholarly journals. These US scholars internalized elements of the authochthonous tradition of Peruvian archaeology even as they were transforming it. As a result, their work may be known by a wider public in Peru than in the United States. Rowe and Murra have been awarded the Orden del Sol, the highest civilian award in Peru

Three of the most influential centers of Peruvian archaeology have flourished at the University of California, Berkeley, the University of Texas, Austin, and Cornell. Scholars trained at these institutions tended to be less receptive to contemporary currents in mainstream US archaeology than other US archaeologists because they accepted many of the priorities of the nationalist Peruvianist tradition. Ironically, Peruvians working in archaeology were unusually receptive to new ideas from the United States, as can be seen in the experiments of Ravines in estimating labor costs at Garagay (273), the ecological analysis by Matos in Junin (196), and the use of lithic reduction studies by Bonavia (22) to reevaluate Lanning & Patterson's Preceramic sequence for the Central Coast. Betty Meggers and Clifford Evans at the Smithsonian Institution, and Marcia Koth de Paredes at the Comisión Fulbright enabled younger Peruvians to carry out doctoral and postdoctoral research on Peruvian archaeology at US institutions, where they have been exposed to new trends in Anglo-American archaeology.

The close relationship between the US and Peruvian archaeological communities is reflected in the widespread use at US universities of Betty Megger's translation of Luis Guillermo Lumbreras's *The Peoples and Cultures of Ancient Peru*, which has gone through 6 printings in 13 years. During the same period, at least half of the archaeology articles in Peru's prestigious journal *Revista del Museo Nacional* were written by US scholars, and high school history textbooks in Peru mention the names and discoveries of foreign archaeologists (185). The local term for foreign scholars specializing in Peruvian archaeology and other aspects of Peruvian culture and history is *peruanistas* (i.e. Peruvianists).

I have taken the time to describe the Peruvian situation because it is so dissimilar from that in some other parts of Latin America. According to archaeologist Jose Lorenzo (173), for example, "Mexico has been turned into an academic battleground on which various US archaeologists, and archaeological trends, fight it out with more noise than justification." Mexican archaeologists are described as working independently of these currents, generally following an archaeological paradigm only peripherally related to that of their foreign colleagues. In contrast, the division of Peruvian archaeology into two hostile camps on the basis of nationality is inconceivable at this time (138, 199).

Nevertheless, the increasing impoverishment of Peruvian institutions charged with archaeological training and administration invariably engenders some conflict. The frustration of Peruvian investigators without access to research funds and the inherent differences between the short-term research needs of foreign scholars and the long-term administrative objectives of Peruvian cultural institutions are sources of friction that are unlikely to disappear no matter how similar or compatible the intellectual paradigms of the two archaeological communities.

Within Peru, the principle center of archaeological training is at the University of San Marcos, though other degree-granting programs exist elsewhere in Lima and the provinces. Fung, Lumbreras, and Matos have been the major forces in the San Marcos program for the last two decades. Lumbreras served as the Director of the Museo Nacional de Antropología y Arqueología from 1973 to 1979, and in his teaching and writing (177) he has advocated the use of historical materialism in archaeological analysis. This perspective was also explored in the influential essays of the late Emilio Choy (54). The interest in applying Marxist theory to prehistory in Peru preceded this trend in the United

40 BURGER

States (100, 242, 244) and was part of a broader concern with Marxist theory in history and the social sciences in Peru, Chile, and Mexico. The continuing popularity of the Marxist paradigm among younger Peruvian archaeologists (72, 213, 215) has not produced the sharp rupture in archaeological practice that processual archaeology precipitated in the United States, since it builds upon the methods of culture history expounded by the older generation of archaeologists.

ECONOMICS, POLITICS, AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL TRENDS

Many significant archaeological trends over the last decade are the direct result of the changing economic and political realities of the Peruvian nation. Lima has quadrupled in size since 1961, and in the process has destroyed hundreds of sites. There is a growing awareness that steps must be taken to document the remaining ruins (201, 280). Only in rare cases has it been possible to conduct emergency excavations (97, 278, 283, 342) and preserve portions of the ruins as parks within the metropolitan environment. Some scholars have sought to partially offset this loss by studying unpublished reports and museum collections from destroyed sites in the Lima area (1, 25, 324).

The growth of provincial cities like Huaráz and Trujillo, as well as construction of roads, dams, canals, and other major public works projects, has demolished sites outside the capital. A well known case was the cutting of a highway through the center of Huari in 1974, but damage done to major sites like Conchapata or Pomakayan by government-sponsored housing is no less tragic. Even as I write, parts of the Paracas site of Chongos is being razed to build a model pig farm, and the site of Garagay is covered by a squatter settlement. The archaeological community in Peru usually lacks the political power to protect these sites (24, 26, 201), though its concern is manifested in newspapers and official protests. There are positive signs that a coherent government policy of cultural resource management may yet emerge, such as the government-sponsored valley surveys and the compiling of a comprehensive inventory of archaeological sites (281, 284).

The international ties underlying Peru's economic growth have a direct impact on archaeological investigation. The role of European companies in Peruvian economic development has created opportunities in Peruvian archaeology for a new generation of European scholars. The Gallito Ciego Reservoir Project, built by a West German engineering firm, helped finance excavations at endangered sites in the middle Jequetepeque Valley by German archaeologists whose previous training had been in Old World prehistory. Eventually, the Gallito Ciego Project will cover with water 645 known archaeological sites, only a few of which have been intensively studied (144, 338); over 80% of these will probably be destroyed. The survey, maps, and excavations by Ravines in 1980 and 1981 provide the most comprehensive record of this loss (276).

The interest in Peruvian archaeology expressed by the German Prime Minister and his wife during their visit to Peru paralleled German-Peruvian collaborative fieldwork with financial support from the Volkswagen Foundation (45, 313). Continued activities by older Peruvianists like Trimborn (359, 361) and Bischof (21) and the renewed interest in Peru by younger German scholars resulted in a publication surge in German journals like Indiana, Baessler Archiv, and Beiträge zur Allgemeinen und Vergleichenden Archäeologie (12, 101, 114, 140, 142). The German archaeologists have brought with them the concern for stratigraphy and the sondage-style excavation techniques characteristic of the study of European prehistory. When applied in Peru, these have yielded some important results, including the horizontal exposure of perishable domestic architecture at Montegrande (344) and the dating of the Cerro Sechín stone sculptures (313). The German involvement in Peruvian archaeology extends to the training of Peruvian students in Germany and the financing and publication of archaeological research in Germany by Peruvians (5, 6, 312). The German government has also assisted in the establishment of the Museo Max Uhle, an archaeological museum in Casma.

Whereas extensive German support for Peruvian archaeological research has been relatively recent, the governments of France (27, 49, 50, 134, 162–165) and Japan (345–347) have consistently supported archaeological research as part of their foreign policy in Peru for the last two decades. Other countries, like Cuba (233, 343), Canada (353–356), Spain (2), and Poland (153, 390), have also sporadically sponsored archaeological investigations.

The UNESCO has had more impact on Peruvian archaeology than many individual nations. It responded to what was perceived as a threat to the Inca ruins in and around Cuzco from tourism and economic development with a long-term multimillion-dollar project in Peru directed by Sylvio Mutal. Studies of archaeological sites in the Cuzco region (PER 71/539) and training programs in excavation and conservation supplemented UNESCO work on the tourist infrastructure and conservation of the ruins in Cuzco. Despite the participation of an entire generation of Peruvian archaeologists in UNESCOsponsored projects at Machu Picchu, Ollantaytambo, Pisac, Coricancha, Tambomachay, and other sites, few scholarly publications have been forthcoming.

Nevertheless, the UNESCO projects have fostered a pan-Andean vision of prehistory in which the archaeology of Peru is only one component. Modern borders are treated as recent arbitrary divisions that obscure the more basic unity of prehispanic Andean development. The exchange links between distant areas, such as the spondylus trade, and discussions of maritime trade in ethnohistoric sources take on a new importance in this light. The clearest expression of this trend is found in two recent syntheses of Andean rather than Peruvian archaeology by Lumbreras and Ravines (176, 277) and the establishment in 1983 of the *Gaceta Arqueológica Andina* and *Revista Andina*, both of which publish articles on Andean prehistory. This recent literature has attempted to overcome the interpretive obstacles created for archaeology by the politics of often antagonistic modern nation states (36, 176, 225).

Despite the increasingly international atmosphere in Peruvian archaeology produced by UNESCO and the numerous foreign projects, local centers of archaeological research in Peru's major provincial cities have continued to prosper (14, 42, 102–104, 234, 369). In fact, universities in Cuzco, Ayacucho, Trujillo, and Arequipa currently grant more degrees in archaeology than the better-known San Marcos.

The pillaging of Peru's archaeological sites for the world art market has received international attention and widespread condemnation, but it has not been stopped. Looters in the Jequetepeque and Zaña valleys brought to light an important new pottery style, known as Tembladera, which figures prominently in recent books and catalogues featuring ancient Peruvian art (158). Unfortunately, the cemetaries that produced it were completely destroyed before they could be studied by archaeologists (276). The 1983 bilateral agreement between the US and Peru that provides for the return of Peru's national patrimony, including Prehispanic artifacts, is one of the few indications of progress towards restraining these illegal activities (362).

Several discoveries by grave robbers may never be paralleled or equaled by authorized archaeological investigation. The begrudging acknowledgment of this situation by scholars justifies the recent studies of looted materials, like the cache of Moche metal artifacts from Loma Negra (131, 171, 321), the golden masks and goblets from the elite burials at Batán Grande (44), the painted Chavin style textiles from the Karwa cemetary (57, 65, 66), and the Cupisnique carved stone bowls and cups from Limoncarro (311). Also noteworthy is the belated publication or reanalysis of older collections stored in museums in Peru, Europe, and the United States (19, 90, 105, 141, 205–207, 295, 296, 322, 325).

The political situation in Peru has always played an important part in determining the number and location of projects. In the early 1970s, the administration of General Velasco Alvarado followed a nationalistic policy that produced an environment in which some archaeologists from the United States found it difficult or unpleasant to work; the expanded participation of US scholars in Ecuadorian archaeology at this time was partially in reaction to the Peruvian situation. When Velasco was removed from power and replaced

by General Morales Bermudez, an archaeological policy was instituted that encouraged the participation of foreign scholars, and the eight years that followed was a period of abundant archaeological activity. Between 1977 and 1983, an average of 23 projects were authorized annually by the INC; over two thirds of these were directed or codirected by foreign scholars.

Increased US participation in Peruvian archaeology led to the establishment of a Northeast Conference on Andean Archaeology and Ethnohistory in 1982. It supplemented the annual meeting of the Institute of Andean Studies in Berkeley, founded in 1960, and the Midwest Conference on Andean and Amazonian Archaeology and Ethnohistory, begun in 1972. The rising number of symposia on Peru presented each year at the SAA and the AAA also reflect this trend. In Peru, an analogous role has been played by the Congreso Peruano del Hombre y la Cultura Peruana held every four years. Dissemination of field research has also been facilitated by *Willay* (a bulletin begun in 1978 as *NORPARG*) and the publication of the papers from the NE Conference of Andean Archaeology and Ethnohistory (156, 314, 315).

The congenial atmosphere for foreign scholars working in Peru between 1975 and 1983 stood in stark contrast to the situation in Mexico and Colombia, where government regulations created major obstacles to archaeological research. Consequently, many US archaeologists interested in complex societies began to seek research opportunities in Peru, even if they had not studied at one of the traditional centers of graduate training in Peruvian archaeology. At the same time, Ramiro Matos at the University of San Marcos forged links with foreign archaeologists. One result of his efforts was that Jeffrey Parsons, Kent Flannery, and Joyce Marcus of the University of Michigan began to work in Peru as well as Mesoamerica, and send their graduate students to Peru for their doctoral research. In 1977 Timothy Earle, a graduate of the University of Michigan, began a long-term UCLA research project in the Upper Mantaro River valley; like Flannery and Parsons, his contact with Matos led him to select an area in Junin as the focus of attention. These scholars and their students have been primarily responsible for the introduction of concepts and methods associated with processual archaeology into Peru. Their involvement signals a new era in which archaeology was undertaken for purposes other than the understanding of Peruvian prehistory. For these investigators, Peru was a convenient laboratory in which the problems of general cultural evolution could be isolated and studied (86, 87, 240, 294, 385, 386).

During the 1970s and early 1980s, political stability made it possible to select project locations on purely academic criteria. Ambitious road-building projects brought an increasingly wide area within reach of motor vehicles, and the high Andes were no longer neglected for logistical reasons. In fact, over half of the authorized projects were centered in the Andean highlands.

Scholars began to fill in the major geographical and chronological gaps in Peruvian prehistory with investigations in Cajamarca (346, 347), Chota (139, 142, 214, 300), the upper Chicama (153), Otuzco, the headwaters of the Huaura drainage (153a), Huamachuco (68, 348, 349, 353, 356), the Callejón de Huaylas (37, 40, 41, 107, 108, 345), Huánuco (27, 255), Apurimac (109, 204), and Cuzco (209). It was even possible to extend research onto the eastern slopes and examine the frontier area in which highland and tropical forest peoples have competed for millennia (137, 286, 326).

When R. S. MacNeish (190-192) decided to extend his research on the origins of agriculture to Peru, he did not hesitate to select the Ayacucho valley as an ideal location despite its relative isolation and impoverishment. Similarly, William Isbell (128) considered it feasible to reinitiate large-scale archaeological research in Ayacucho in order to trace the origins of urbanism and the state with surface reconnaissance and excavation at Huari in 1974, 1977-1978, and 1979-1980. This favorable situation began to change in 1980 when the revolutionary movement Sendero Luminoso initiated its campaign of armed struggle to topple the democratically elected government. At first, the only area affected was Ayacucho, where the Huari Urban History Project came to a premature end. Gradually, violence by Sendero Luminoso, the Movimiento Tupac Amaru, and the Peruvian military forces spread through much of the highlands, discouraging the initiation of new projects there. Some foreign projects, such as those in Huamachuco, Junin, and Tanatamayo have continued despite the sporadic hostilities in these areas, and archaeology continues to be done in Ayacucho by Benavides, Gonzáles Carré, and other scholars at the INC and the Universidad Nacional de San Cristobal de Huamanga (103, 104, 265). Highland areas untroubled by guerilla activity, such as Cuzco and the Colca Valley, are still the focus of investigations by foreign and Peruvian projects (194), but work by foreigners in most of the highlands has decreased sharply.

The increase in terrorism was paralleled by an overall economic decline and an increase in violent crime. By 1983 the combination of these factors resulted in a general decrease of fieldwork by foreigners and a corresponding increase in data analysis. The promulgation in September, 1985 of new regulations governing archaeology and their strict enforcement by the APRA party administration slowed field research still further. Most of the ongoing research focuses on the arid coastal valleys.

A view of archaeological research on the coast reveals a curious cyclical regularity. In the 1940s investigations focused on the north coast. Attention shifted in the 1950s to the south coast, and then to the central coast in the 1960s. Research came full circle in the 1970s when a host of major projects were established on the north coast, most notably the Chan Chan-Moche Valley Project (1969–1974), the Pampa Grande Project (1973, 1975), and the

Riego Antiguo Project (1976–1979). Though work in the north has continued at Batán Grande (329, 331), Pacatnamú (80) and Manchan (189, 211), interest in the 1980s has once again focused on the south coast, where new projects have been initiated in the valleys of Moquegua (376), Acarí, Nasca (338), Cañete (195), Pisco, Topará (389a), and Chincha (317).

SETTLEMENT AND ECONOMY IN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH

Gordon Willey's settlement-pattern study of the Viru Valley put Peru at the archaeological forefront of studies in socioeconomic change. It was generally assumed that there was a fairly unambiguous relationship between site location and natural resources and that changes in the settlement patterns directly mirrored modifications in economic strategies and/or sociopolitical organization. The continued popularity of the settlement-pattern approach in Peru is attested to by archaeological surveys on the coast and in the highlands (7, 87, 96, 240, 268, 337). Many of these surveys adopted the systematic totalcoverage procedures employed by Parsons and others in the Valley of Mexico, and there has been a consequent increase in the number of archaeological sites discovered. In the lower Santa Valley, for example, 1246 sites were documented (386). In order to facilitate meaningful inferences from the survey data, increasing attention has been paid to the details of the modern environment and to the distribution, quantity, and quality of the resources that might have been exploited by human populations in the past (119, 163, 164, 196). This work has drawn heavily upon the cultural ecology approach popular in US archaeology during the 1970s.

Some archaeologists working in Peru were justifiably skeptical about the reliability of economic inferences made almost solely on the basis of settlement data. West (380), for example, returned to the Viru Valley and illustrated how selective excavation and analysis of organic materials required the modification of some of the original conclusions about prehistoric economy and land use. Moseley (220) demonstrated the even more disturbing conclusion that archaeologically observed settlement patterns on the coast were sometimes as much the result of differential site destruction and burial as of changing patterns of prehistoric activity. Moreover, it was argued that, owing to coastal uplift and El Niño, topography has been going through a process of radical transformation throughout prehistory; consequently the economic potential of this area has changed through time (222, 230). Scientific documentation of fluctuations in temperature and rainfall during the Holocene in Peru are likewise inconsistent with the working assumption of environmental homeostasis (47, 350, 388) implied by the use of the modern environment in the reconstruction of extinct subsistence systems. Some scholars have taken

conclusions concerning environmental dynamism even further and argued that tectonic and climatic changes may be the proximate and even, perhaps, the ultimate causes of many major socioeconomic and political changes in Peruvian history (46, 95, 126, 222, 248, 253).

A sharp increase in the analysis of macrobotanical plant remains (56, 112, 250–252, 258, 259, 340, 365, 381) and faunal materials (4, 165, 208, 256, 259, 266, 282, 333, 334, 382, 387) during the last decade has permitted more direct inferences about prehistoric diet and subsistence than did settlement-pattern studies. Pollen extracted from coprolites, agricultural fields, and habitation sites also provides a useful complementary source of evidence about diet and economy (143, 377–379), as does stable carbon isotope analysis (113). In a few cases (112), settlement-pattern studies are integrated with excavations of local-level economic features and refuse analysis to provide a multifaceted view of prehistoric subsistence.

The diet of prehispanic Peruvian societies must ultimately be understood within the larger context of human health and nutrition (11, 30). Some of the most exciting recent work in Peru has focused on skeletal remains rather than the study of dietary residues. The aim of this research has been to isolate the health problems of these ancient populations and to determine the degree to which these were specific to particular regions, periods, or subsistence strategies (15, 16, 48). Osteological analysis has often disclosed the kinds of trauma, nutritional stress, and pathologies present in prehispanic Peru (371). Analysis of the soft tissue of dessicated human interments of the arid coastal region offers unusual opportunities to determine cause of death with some confidence. A study of Peruvian mummies, for example, revealed that a common cause of death in prehispanic times was respiratory disease. This would have been impossible to diagnose on the basis of skeletal material (3). Coprolite analysis has provided evidence of prehispanic parasite infestation as well as insights into early diet (241).

The success of the indigenous economic systems encountered by the Spanish in Peru is incomprehensible without understanding the technology and infrastructure upon which it was based (76). The reworking of the intermontane valleys with terracing and irrigation systems, and the transformation of coastal deserts into fertile oases using water tanks and dams, were central features of the Inca economy. Archaeologists have long presumed that the Incas accomplishments were based on earlier traditions. The last decade has witnessed a multitude of empirical studies of pre-Inca and Inca canal and terrace systems in both the coastal and intermontane valleys, as well as on the steep eastern slopes of the Andes (89, 93, 94, 154, 155, 194, 222, 223, 235, 239, 260). The rich inventory of prehispanic Andean agricultural technology also included raised fields (91, 172) in the highlands and sunken fields on the coast (151, 339). In many regions, the canal systems, terraces, and ridged fields made it possible to cultivate at least 35% more land than at present. Whether this discrepancy is due to social, technological, economic, or environmental factors is a question that is of as much interest to the Peruvian government as to archaeologists.

PREHISPANIC ANDEAN TECHNOLOGY

The prosperity of prehispanic Peru stands in stark contrast to modern Peru. The aboriginal agricultural systems were only one aspect of the complex technological system responsible for the long-term success and stability of prehispanic society. A growing interest in the characteristics of Central Andean technology has brought forth two recent collection of articles (170, 274). To achieve their goal these articles draw upon a broad range of approaches, including ethnography, history, materials analysis, archaeology, and replicative experiments.

In Inca times, the storage of agricultural and other goods was nearly as critical as their production, according to the Spanish chroniclers. Archaeological studies of highland Inca storage systems (69, 86, 217) have verified the enormous scale of these facilities. At Huánuco Pampa, for example, there were of 480 buildings with a storage capacity of 39,700 cubic meters in which thousands of tons of potatoes, corn, and other goods were kept (219). Almost a thousand years earlier, large-scale storage of surplus was being practiced at Pampa Grande, a Moche V site on the far north coast of Peru (9). The studies of pre-Inca and Inca storage shed light on the context of storage within the nonmarket economies of prehistoric Peru, as well as documenting the specific storage techniques used. Inca administrators of storehouse complexes and other public facilities kept records with knotted and colored strings, known as *quipus*. Pre-Inca quipu-like instruments were recently shown to have been used in the Huari empire (58).

The construction and maintenance of prehispanic road systems facilitated the movement of goods and information in the rugged terrain of the Central Andes. A recent survey of the Inca network reveals at least 23,139 km of roads, and the complete network probably included approximately 40,000 km of roads (121). Naturally, many of these roads existed prior to the Inca conquest and were simply subsumed within the state network. Like quipus and government storage, large-scale road systems can now be documented for the Middle Horizon (323, 355).

Prehispanic Andean technology was fundamentally different from that of the Old World, in part because the technological choices made often expressed the deeper structures and values of these Andean societies (169). Most studies of Andean metallurgy and textiles have focused on reconstructing developmental histories of these technologies (57, 167) and in delineating

48 BURGER

regional technological traditions (60, 168, 171, 303, 372). Much of this literature draws upon the detailed documentation of the processes used to create the artifacts recovered by archaeologists and looters (363). A good example of this kind of study is Heather Lechtman's discovery that the golden surface of many north coast metal artifacts was achieved by a sophisticated electrochemical replacement plating process (171). Less frequently, studies have sought to understand the productive processes by focusing on residues left at quarries (269) and workshops (166, 332) rather than on the laboratory analysis of the finished objects. With a few important exceptions (219, 328) the socioeconomic contexts of production emphasized in Murra's ethnohistoric research (226) have been overlooked.

ICONOGRAPHY AND IDEOLOGY

Interest in iconography has been renewed in the past decade by an increased awareness of the importance of ideology among anthropologically oriented archaeologists and by a growing appreciation of the pre-Columbian world by art historians (67, 107, 247, 321). As in the past, most research has focused on the art of the Moche because of its unusually rich naturalistic style, but the Chavin-related (43, 65, 66, 136, 159, 215, 280, 289, 297, 298, 311), Paracas (84, 85, 247), Recuay (12, 107, 288), and Chancay styles have also received attention.

Substantial progress has been made towards delineating the basic themes represented in Moche art, partially as a result of the creation of an archive of Moche iconography by Christopher Donnan (77). Detailed analyses have appeared of particular motifs, scenes, and individuals and their significance (17, 18, 31, 78, 82, 117). Though Moche art sheds an indirect light on daily life (42, 101, 130), it is currently viewed as representing mythology and ritual. Its interpretation has usually been attempted by a combination contextual analysis and analogy with Colonial accounts and modern ethnographic descriptions. In the last decade the structuralist interpretations of prehispanic ideology and archaeology by ethnographers and ethnohistorians has become increasingly influential in iconographic studies (124, 366, 367, 391). For example, Anne Marie Hocquenghem has attempted to go beyond the usual piecemeal interpretations of Moche art by creating a general structuralist model of prehispanic Peruvian ideology and ritual based largely on 16th century descriptions of highland Inca society. She has tried to use it to explicate Moche iconography (116), despite the considerable ecological and temporal divide between them. Lathrap has proposed even more imaginative cosmological models intended to be applicable to the prehispanic Central Andes, Mesoamerica, and beyond (160, 161). Finally, the encoding of political, as well as religious, ideology in Andean art and the use of this art in

the legitimization and application of coercive force have also begun to receive the attention they merit (64).

ADVANCES IN PERUVIAN PREHISTORY

The Preceramic

The final publication of the stratigraphy and stone tool assemblages of the Ayacucho Project (191, 192) is a landmark in Peruvian archaeology. Combined with smaller-scale studies of Junin (140, 163, 294) and Guitarrero Cave in the Callejón de Huaylas (179, 181), these results put the study of the Peruvian Preceramic on a much surer footing. Nonetheless, there is still little consensus on the date of the earliest settlement of Peru or the nature of the early hunter-gatherer societies in the highlands. MacNeish's claims for the pre–projectile point Pacaicasa and Ayacucho phases have not been widely accepted by other scholars. His model of a nomadic migratory round for the hunter-gatherers of Ayacucho likewise has plausible alternatives in the seasonal transhumant model put forward by Lynch (180) and the sedentary puna dwelling model presented by Rick (294).

Also still subject to debate are the dates when plants and animals were domesticated and what impact they had on Preceramic society. Though MacNeish and Lynch have both recovered small quantities of very early cultigens in highland caves (190), many questions remain unresolved because of their scarcity and the probability of postdepositional disturbances (254, 370).

The macrobotanical record is more complete from the coast where the preservation is better, but several thousand years separate the first documented appearance of most crops on the coast and the claims for their earliest use in the highlands (56, 250, 254). Though some have sought to explain this late introduction by population pressure (55), the point of origin and the chronology of diffusion of most cultigens remain largely hypothetical (159, 257). Even on the coast, the accepted dating of supposedly late cultigens (e.g. manioc, potatoes, and sweet potatoes) has recently been overturned by the application of new analytical techniques (365). The distinctiveness and numerous varieties of Peruvian maize have led some investigators to posit the Central Andes as a center of domestication (23), but most investigators now argue for an early diffusion of primitive maize to Peru from Mesoamerica (251). The large sample of maize excavated by Bonavia at Gavilanes and the other examples of Preceramic maize from the highlands (179, 190, 340) and the coast (23, 377) have been questioned on botanical and archaeological grounds (20, 370).

The dating of the domestication of the llama and the alpaca is equally

controversial because of the difficulty in distinguishing their remains from their wild relatives, the guanaco and vicuña (387). On the high grasslands in Junin, analysis of the camelid remains from Uchkumachay and Telarmachay suggests that the domestication of the alpaca and llama began between 4000 and 3500 BC (165, 256, 382). Yet in the adjacent intermontane river valleys, cervid and camelid hunting continued as an important supplement to farming during the Initial Period and even the Early Horizon (37, 208, 333, 387).

With the exception of the Paiján complex (49, 50, 83, 193, 237), the early Preceramic on the Peruvian coast has received comparatively little attention (72). It has only recently been appreciated that much of the early and middle Preceramic coastal plain is now under water (291–293) and that El Niño and other aspects of modern coastal climate may have been absent or somewhat different prior to 3000 BC (299, 316).

On the coast, the transition to sedentary villages during the Preceramic was well documented by the Paloma Project, which focused on the occupation of a village between 5700 and 3000 BC on the edge of the zone of seasonal fog vegetation (*lomas*). The heavy use of ocean and river resources permitted the village to survive even when the lomas were not in bloom. Studies of the burial goods and skeletal material have provided an unusually clear picture of the demographic and health profile of this relatively egalitarian society (15, 16, 271). The hypothesis of Lanning and others that the lomas settlements were abandoned for the shoreline because of a change in climate has been challenged by data suggesting that the overutilization of lomas resources may have led to the degradation and dessication of this fragile zone (378).

The emergence of sedentary or semi-sedentary living on the coast at Paloma prior to the introduction of agricultural staples is consistent with the so-called Maritime Foundations of Andean Civilization hypothesis expounded by Moseley and others. They claim that the numerous late Preceramic platforms and plazas that dot the central and north central coast of Peru were constructed by littoral dwellers dependent primarily on Peru's unusually rich maritime resources and involved only secondarily in agricultural pursuits (5, 95, 118, 221, 258, 261). Not surprisingly, the hypothesis that societies without agricultural staples could be responsible for monuments like El Paraiso, which required over a million person-days of labor, has been met with considerable skepticism. Various alternatives, all involving food crops, have been offered (236, 285, 385). This debate is far from resolved, but the empirical data available suggest that many of the negative characterizations of the maritime resources were unjustified, particularly in light of evidence for the harvesting of anchovies as well as larger fish, mollusks, and sea mammals (45, 270, 271).

Research over the last decade has revealed that the emergence of early

complex societies on the coast during the late Preceramic is paralleled by the appearance of analogous societies along the western slopes and intermontane valleys of the adjacent highlands. This has been documented by the excavations at Huaricoto, Galgada, and Piruru and the surveys in the Tablachaca and Zaña rivers (27, 32, 37, 40, 41, 75, 108). Though varied in scale and organization, several of the highland sites display similar public architecture featuring central hearths in ritual chambers for the presentation of burnt offerings. This group of ceremonial architectures has been called the Kotosh Religious Tradition (40). The developments in the highlands and the coast during the late Preceramic were socially and economically interrelated, and explanatory models must take into account this interzonal interaction (38, 270).

The Initial Period (c. 1800–800 BC) and Early Horizon (c. 800 BC–0 AD)

Understanding of the Initial Period has been radically transformed by the archaeological studies of the last decade (38, 79). Over 20 centers with U-shaped platform mounds have been documented for the central coast, and another 30 major centers with truncated rectangular pyramids and sunken circular plazas on the north-central coast (5, 32, 79, 245, 262, 383). Other large centers have been uncovered on the north coast (59, 264, 331, 375). Some coastal centers, like Moxeke and Cerro Sechin, attributed by Tello to the Chavin culture, can now be shown to be older than classic Chavin civilization and to date to the Initial Period (33, 262, 312, 313).

The Chavin style drew its inspiration from elements and conventions widely used on the adobe sculpture and polychrome friezes that decorated the exteriors of these coastal Initial Period constructions (21, 38, 280). The excavation of impressive polychrome friezes at Garagay (283) and Huaca de los Reyes in the Caballo Muerto complex (264) has focused attention on the Initial Period art and required the modification of earlier assumptions (297) concerning the relation of early coastal and highland artistic traditions. Similarly, excavations at coastal centers have proven that the U-shaped pyramid complex, sunken circular and rectangular plazas, cylindrical columns, and other architectural elements once considered typical of the Chavin civilization were all known at a much earlier date (32, 245). A multitude of new local beliefs and conventions unrelated to Chavin, like the importance of the spider in early coastal iconography and the popularity of colonnaded halls in the architecture of the north coast, have also come to light (311).

Unfortunately, research at large Initial Period centers has usually been conducted on a small scale (98), sufficient only to establish temporal control and some basic cultural attributes, particularly ceramic style (106, 202). Furthermore, excavation at Initial Period sites lacking monumental architec-

ture is rare. There is little agreement about the nature of these societies; scholars have speculated that they were tribes, chiefdoms, or even states (110, 242, 262, 264). Some have tried to explain the building and organization of these complexes by analogy to later Andean features of the Inca and Colonial Quechua such as dual divisions, cargo organization, and mita labor (41, 95, 124, 229, 283). The abundant monumental architecture apparently served as the setting for religious ceremonies and as the focus of public life. Habitations have been found at large centers like Moxeke and small centers like Montegrande (344). The inland location of most of these centers has led investigators to presume that the societies in question were dependent on irrigation agriculture; the abundant marine foods recovered indicate the interdependency of small littoral communities and larger inland settlements (55, 242, 258).

Studies of the Early Horizon continue to be dominated by models of a Chavin horizon that radically altered the cultures and patterns of interaction in central and northern Peru. This hypothesis had originally been based on the diffusion of art styles, but recent studies have documented revolutionary changes in textile and metallurgical technology (57, 167) coeval with and presumably the result of the Chavin phenomenon. Since many of the cloth and metal objects bear Chavin religious motifs, it is likely that their production had an ideological basis and goal. The unprecedented diffusion of a single pan-regional style appears to have been produced by the adoption of the Chavin religious cult by numerous societies of the coast and highlands that had previously had little contact. The ties forged in the ideological sphere provided the basis for expanded social and economic interaction, as reflected in an expansion in long-distance exchange and the adoption of common stylistic elements in local pottery assemblages (36, 39, 197).

The site of Chavín de Huántar (35, 174, 335) represents a rupture with the highland Kotosh Religious Tradition (40), and the architectural elements of the famous highland temple can now be traced to earlier coastal antecedents. They are no more local than the images of tropical forest animals decorating the public structures (135, 383). The economic and religious basis for the construction of the Chavin temple continues to fascinate scholars and generate new research (34, 35, 178, 208, 289). Constructed late in the Initial Period, the Chavín de Huántar temple flourished during the Early Horizon and its popularity was mirrored in the growth to over 40 hectares of the settlement surrounding it (35). In contrast, older coastal centers, like Garagay or Haldas, appear to have gone into decline or were abandoned completely (33). It is against this backdrop of foundering formative coastal traditions that the spread of the Chavin cult must be placed. The regional impact of the Chavin horizon was variable, ranging from strong (345) to virtually nonexistent (153, 153a, 390); the degree of influence does not appear to be a simple function of distance from Chavín de Huántar or any other center.

The distintegration of the Chavin interaction sphere led to proliferation of myriad distinctive cultural patterns (70, 84, 85, 238, 342, 373, 374). In many regions, redoubts and other fortifications appeared for the first time (183, 354, 386), and large nucleated centers lacking monumental architecture were established both in the highlands and coast (28).

The Early Intermediate Period (0–600 AD)

The cultures of the Early Intermediate Period were not the focus of many major field programs in the decade under consideration, perhaps because they were already relatively well known. Studies of the in situ development of urbanism on the north coast (13, 28, 357) and the apparent lack of true urbanism at the large site of Cahuachi (338) on the south coast did, nevertheless, constitute major advances, as did several stimulating examinations of the relations between the various Early Intermediate Period cultures (74, 246, 249, 276, 338, 355). Also noteworthy was the investigation of the Moche canal system and the impact of flooding and other environmental factors on it (223, 230).

On the south coast, the enigmatic desert markings of Nasca remain a subject of debate, with ethnographers and astronomers taking an active part (127, 290, 367). Archaeologists have now documented desert lines analogous to those of Nasca that were apparently created during the Preceramic (301), and large geoglyphs of naturalistic figures are now known from several valleys, including examples from Zaña that are believed to date to the Initial Period (6).

Some important advances have been made in the still poorly understood southern highlands. Building upon the improved understanding of the Early Horizon sequence for this region (115, 209, 305), a sculptural sequence was proposed that would link the Early Horizon style with Pucara and ultimately with Tiahuanaco (51, 53). Important evidence of ceramic styles and economic interaction was also produced by survey in this region and by new excavations at Pucara (224, 225).

Middle Horizon (c. 600 AD-c. 1000 AD)

Research on the Middle Horizon has been dominated by studies related to the origin and development of the expansive Huari state. Heavily influenced by the work of H. J. Wright and G. A. Johnson, scholars have viewed state organization as the critical locus of power in complex societies and information processing as the critical function of this institution. Previous work by Menzel and Lumbreras had pointed to parallels with the Inca state, but these discussions were based largely on analysis of pottery style and impressions drawn from site reconnaissance. Research over the last decade has emphasized systematic site survey, mapping, and extensive excavations.

The Huari Urban Prehistory Project was in the vanguard of this research,

providing a clearer vision of Huari, presumed to be the capital of this empire, and an idea of the complexity of this 500-hectare site (29, 128, 129, 341). Peruvian colleagues made discoveries of elite tombs (14), the main temple (103), and areas of craft production (265). According to the estimates of Isbell the maximum population of the city ranged between 20,650 and 34,000. One unexpected discovery was a cut-stone court built directly on bedrock. Resembling the sunken plaza of the Qalasasaya at Tiahuanaco (128), it raised questions once again concerning the role of Tiahuanaco in the rise of the Huari state (64, 210).

Additional studies outside of Huari shed light on the sustaining rural hinterland (125) and their administration by provincial state complexes (10, 52, 203, 384). These latter studies confirmed the powerful Huari presence at sites like Pikillacta and Azangaro while suggesting that state-controlled production at these centers may have been more important than previously realized. Evidence of ritual activity characteristic of the state religion were documented far from Huari (272, 348). Studies of tenuous outposts of Huari power in the south at Cerro Baúl in Moquegua (376) and the north in Huamachuco (356) helped to define the limits in extent and power of this polity.

Despite the mounting evidence of various kinds indicating that Huari was the urban capital of a large state (128, 129, 249), skepticism has increased about whether this polity was an empire as frequently claimed. Ruth Shady (325, 327) has argued that intensified economic interaction provides the best explanation for the appearance of Huari elements on the central coast. Similarly, the investigators of the Chan Chan-Moche Valley Project concluded that the north coast was never incorporated into Huari imperial expansion (13, 186), despite occasional finds of Huari ceramics (207), Huari stylistic influence on public art (188), and a sudden change in local burial customs at the beginning of the Middle Horizon (81). The development of urban forms and the introduction of architectural elements, like the large rectangular compounds, are now thought to be the result of internal north coast developments. The Huari-contemporary polities on the north coast provide evidence of sharp class divisions, extensive state storage, craft specialization, and other features characteristic of the subsequent Chimu empire (9, 111, 328, 334).

The reasons for the breakup of the Huari empire have not been seriously pursued, though some interesting ideas have been presented (8, 126). The ensuing political reorganization during the late Middle Horizon has, for the first time, been examined in detail outside of the south coast. Progress has been particularly noteworthy on the north coast (207), with important excavations at Chan Chan, Pacatnamú (80, 114, 364), and Batán Grande. It has been argued that Chimu culture began to develop by the latter part of the Middle Horizon, directly following the Moche V developments in the Moche valley (81, 187, 223). It has also been demonstrated that a polity character-

ized by the Lambayeque or Sicán culture was established in the Lambayeque and La Leche valleys by the late Middle Horizon and continued through the early Late Intermediate Period until its conquest by the Chimu empire. Much new information has come to light on the role of the Sicán culture in metallurgical production and its strong exchange links with Ecuadorian cultures (44, 329–332).

Late Intermediate Period (c. 1000–1476 AD) and Late Horizon (1476–1534 AD)

For many decades, the Late Intermediate Period and Late Horizon received comparatively little attention from archaeologists because of the availability of historic accounts of the Incas and the groups they conquered. This situation changed over the last decade for several reasons. As evident in the preceding pages, there has been a growing interest in sociopolitical organization, and it began to be appreciated that the fragmentary ethnohistorical evidence could provide varied models of regional prehispanic organization that could be tested, revised, and broadened using archaeological methods (216, 226, 227, 302). Second, late prehispanic remains are more compatible with currently favored archaeological methods such as settlement survey and broad horizontal excavations than are older remains, though the pottery is often far less attractive. Finally, the idealized view of Tawantinsuyu came under fire; basic questions were raised about the nature of the Inca empire and the degree to which it transformed the social, political, and economic patterns outside of Cuzco (92, 218, 226, 302). The renewed interest in later Andean prehistory reflects the influence of the continuing ethnohistorical research of Murra (226, 227), Rostworowski (302), Rowe (306-308), and Zuidema (391) on a new generation of archaeologists.

The publication of the conclusions reached by the Chan Chan-Moche Valley Project (223, 275) provides an almost ethnographic vision of life in this complex urban center, whose nucleated core covers an area of some 6 km². Chan Chan was divided into social classes, each with distinct patterns of residence, production, consumption, and burial (63, 71, 149, 150, 263, 352). Although hampered by the absence of an accepted relative chronology, an attempt has been made to trace the growth and decline of Chan Chan and relate these changes to climatic disasters and military expansion to the north and south (152, 222). Excavations at Chimu provincial administrative centers helped shed light on the pre-Inca patterns of imperial management of conquered territories (145, 189, 211). New archaeological evidence for state involvement in expanding large-scale canal networks and in colonizing new agricultural land (89, 145, 154) has been tempered by new ethnohistorical evidence of local-level management of most north coast irrigation systems in Chimu times (228).

Effective combination of systematic regional survey, selective excavation,

and documentary research has also begun to provide a vivid image of Late Intermediate Period society in the central highlands (87, 239, 240).

In Huanuco (219) and Junin (163, 164), differences in Late Intermediate Period ceramic style, architecture, population density, site hierarchy, and use of public architecture have been related to ecological and ethnic divisions. The Mantaro Valley area, associated with the Huanca ethnic group in historical records, shows evidence of social and political hierarchy, although at no point does it approach that of the Chimu empire. Considerable chronological control has been achieved in the Mantaro Valley, and it appears that there was a significant population increase toward the end of the Late Intermediate Period, accompanied by a shift in settlement locations from low-lying sites to defensible ridges and hilltops (87, 112).

In the southern highlands, Ann Kendall's study of Late Intermediate Period sites in the Urubamba drainage has reinforced earlier evidence that the Killke materials are the direct antecedent for classic Inca culture. The Killke settlement pattern is comprised of small sites not unlike those in the central highlands (102, 146, 147). Surprisingly, the Late Intermediate Period occupation of the Cuzco basin remains poorly understood, although some useful studies of the ceramics and individual sites have appeared (102, 146, 148, 231). As a consequence, recent discussions of the rise of the Inca state have made little use of archaeological evidence (175, 244, 318).

The kingdoms surrounding Lake Titicaca have also received considerably more attention (120, 132, 133, 225, 309). Centers covering up to 150 hectares have been documented, and there are well defined site hierarchies in the region. The larger centers feature monumental architecture and elaborate tomb constructions (120, 120a, 310). The discovery of a large cache of gold at Sillustani illustrates the highly stratified nature of these Titicaca Basin groups (309).

The incorporation of pre-Inca polities into Tawantinsuyu and the transformation of local and regional economic systems as a consequence of this conquest have been studied by numerous investigators (73, 112, 133, 206, 219, 243). The pattern that emerges is characterized by considerable variability reflecting economic and historical differences between valleys and the considerable flexibility of Inca administrative mechanisms (207, 218). Detailed studies of individual Inca centers likewise reveal strong regional diversity (61, 92, 104, 122, 153, 195, 219, 345). These case studies have been complemented by specialized studies on the nature of the Inca economy, particularly in regard to the role of exchange (157, 216, 303), state storage (69, 86, 157, 217), and agriculture (88, 93). The ideological basis of state power is increasingly viewed as critical (62, 306), and the material symbols of Inca hegemony, particularly the architecture, have continued to interest scholars (99, 121, 122, 147, 212, 308).

CONCLUSION

Although substantial progress has been made towards a better understanding of Peruvian prehistory over the last decade, the field is still in its infancy. The participation of an increased number of investigators representing diverse schools of world archaeology and the establishment of new channels of communication between scholars are positive signs for the future. On the other hand, the increasingly violent and unstable political situation in Peru is a negative factor whose long-term impact cannot be predicted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Lucy Salazar-Burger and Jeffrey Quilter for their help with the manuscript.

Literature Cited

- 1. Agurto, S. 1984. Lima Prehispánica. Lima: Municipalidad de Lima
- Alcina, J. 1976. Arqueología de Chinchero, Vol. I: La Arquitectura, Vol. II: Cerámica y Otros Materiales. Madrid: Ministerio de Asuntos Exteriores
- Allison, M. J. 1984. Paleopathology in Peruvian and Chilean populations. In Paleopathology and the Origins of Agriculture, ed. M. N. Cohen, G. J. Armelagos, pp. 515–29. New York: Academic
- gos, pp. 515–29. New York: Academic 4. Altamirano, A. 1983. Pesca y utilizacion de camélidos en Manchan. *Bol. de Lima* 30:62–74
- Alva, W. L. 1986. Las Salinas de Chao. Mater. Allg. Vgl. Archäeol. 34:1-169
- Alva, W., Meneses de Alva, S. 1982. Geoglifos del formativo en el Valle de Zaña. Beitr. Allg. Vgl. Archaeol. 4:203– 12
- Amat, H. 1976. Estudios arqueológicos en la cuenca del Mosna y en el Alto Marañon. Actas del XLI Congreso Internacional de Americanistas III:532– 44. Mexico: INAH
- 8. Amat, H. 1978. Los Yaros destructores del imperio Wari. Actas Trab. Cong. Peruano del Hombre Cult. Andina II:614-40
- Anders, M. B. 1981. Investigation of state storage facilities in Pampa Grande, Peru. J. Field Archaeol. 8:391–404
- Anders, M. B. 1986. Wari experiments in statécraft: a view from Azángaro. See Ref. 200, pp. 201–24

- 11. Antuñez de Mayolo, S. E. 1981. La Nutrición en el Antiguo Perú. Lima: Banco Central de Reserva del Peru
- Bankman, U. 1980. Moche und Recuay. Baessler Arch. 27:253–71
 Bawden, G. L. 1982. Galindo: a study
- Bawden, G. L. 1982. Galindo: a study in cultural transition during the Middle Horizon. See Ref. 223, pp. 285–320
 Benavides, M. 1979. Notas sobre ex-
- Benavides, M. 1979. Notas sobre excavaciones en Cheqo Wasi, Wari. In *Investigaciones*, 2(2):9–26. Ayacucho: Univ. Nacional de San Cristobal de Huamanga
- Benfer, R. A. 1984. The challenges and rewards of sedentism: the Preceramic village of Paloma, Peru. In *Paleopathol*ogy and the Origins of Agriculture, ed. M. N. Cohen, G. J. Armelagos, pp. 531-58. New York: Academic
- Benfer, R. A. 1986. Holocene coastal adaptations: changing demographic and health at the fog oasis of Paloma, Peru, 5,000-7,800 B.P. See Ref. 200, pp. 45– 64
- Benson, E. P. 1982. The man with the V on his headdress: a figure in Moche III-IV iconography. *Indiana* 7:201– 25
- Berezkin, Y. E. 1981. An identification of anthropomorphic personages in Moche representations. Nawpa Pacha 18:1–26
- Bird, J. B., Hyslop, J., Skinner, M. D. 1985. The Preceramic excavations at the Huaca Prieta, Chicama Valley, Peru.

Anthropol. Pap. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 62(1):1–294

- Bird, R. M. 1978. Archaeological maize from Peru. Maize Genet. Coop. Newsl. 52:90-2
- Bischof, H. 1984. Zur Entstehung des Chavin-Stils in Alt-Peru. Beitr. Allg. Vgl. Archäol 6:355–452
- Bonavia, D. 1982. El complejo Chivateros: una aproximación tecnológica. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 46:19–37
- Bonavia, D. 1982. Los Gavilanes. Lima: Corp. Financ. Desarrollo S.A. Cofide and Inst. Arqueol. Alemán
- Bonavia, D. 1984. Peru. In Approaches to the Archaeological Heritage, ed. H. Cleere, pp. 109–15. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Bonavia, D. 1985. Mural Painting in Ancient Peru. Transl. P. J. Lyon. Bloomington: Univ. Indiana Press
- Bonavia, D., Cárdenas, M., Iriarte, F., Matos, M. 1980. Memoria y exposición de la Comisión Técnica Calificadora de Proyectos Arqueológicos del Instituto Nacional de Cultura 1979–80. Lima: Inst. Nac. Cult.
- Bonnier, E. 1983. Piruru: nuevas evidencias de ocupación temprana en Tantamayo, Peru. Gaceta Arqueol. Andina 8:8-10
- Brennan, D. 1980. Cerro Arena; early cultural complexity and nucleation in North Coastal Peru. J. Field Archaeol. 7(1):1-22
- Brewster-Bray, C. C. 1983. Spatial patterning and the function of a Huari architectural compound. See Ref. 314, pp. 122–35
- Browman, D. L. 1981. Prehistoric nutrition and medicine in the Lake Titicaca Basin. In *Health in the Andes*, ed. J. W. Bastien, J. M. Donahue, pp. 103–18. Washington, DC: Am. Anthropol. Assoc.
- Bruhns, K. O. 1977. The moon animal in northern Peruvian art and culture. *Nawpa Pacha* 14:21-40
 Bueno, A. 1983. Arquitectura pre-
- Bueno, A. 1983. Arquitectura pre-Chavín en los Andes Centrales. Bol. de Lima 28:11–28
- Burger, R. L. 1981. The radiocarbon evidence for the temporal priority of Chavín de Huántar. Am. Antiq. 46:592– 602
- Burger, R. L. 1983. Pójoc and Waman Wain: two Early Horizon villages in the Chavin heartland. Nawpa Pacha 20:3– 40
- 35. Burger, R. L. 1984. The Prehistoric Occupation of Chavin de Huantar, Peru. Univ. Calif. Publ. Anthropol. 14
- 36. Burger, R. L. 1984. Archaeological

areas and prehistoric frontiers: the case of formative Peru and Ecuador. In Social and Economic Organization in the Prehispanic Andes, ed. D. L. Browman, R. L. Burger, M. A. Rivera, pp. 37–71. Oxford: BAR Int. Ser. 194

- Burger, R. L. 1985. Prehistoric stylistic change and cultural development at Huaricoto, Peru. *Natl. Geogr. Res.* 1(4):505-34
- Burger, R. L. 1985. Concluding remarks: early Peruvian civilization and its relation to the Chavin horizon. See Ref. 79, pp. 269–89
- Burger, R. L., Asaro, F. 1979. Análisis de rasgos significativos en la obsidiana de los Andes Centrales. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 43(1977):281–325
- Burger, R. L., Salazar-Burger, L. 1980. Ritual and religion at Huaricoto. Archaeology 33(6):26-32
- Burger, R. L., Salazar-Burger, L. 1986. Early organizational diversity in the Peruvian highlands: Huaricoto and Kotosh. See Ref. 200, pp. 65–82
- 42. Campana, C. 1983. La Vivienda Mochica. Trujillo: Varese Editores
- Cane, R. E. 1986. Iconografía de Chavín "caimanes o cocodrílicos" y sus raíces chamánicas. *Bol. Lima* 45:86–95
- 44. Carcedo, P., Shimada, I. 1985. Behind the golden mask: the Sicán gold artifacts from Batán Grande, Peru. *The Art of Precolumbian Gold*, ed. E. Benson, J. Jones, pp. 60–75. Boston: Little, Brown
- 45. Cárdenas, M. 1979. A chronology of the use of marine resources in ancient Peru. *Publicación No. 104 del Instituto Riva-Aguero*. Lima: Seminario de Arqueología, Pontifícia Universidad Católica del Perú
- Cardich, A. 1976. Agricultores y pastores en Lauricocha y límites superiores del cultivo. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 41:11–36
- 47. Cardich, A. 1985. The fluctuating upper limits of cultivation in the Central Andes and their impact on Peruvian prehistory. *Adv. World Archaeol.* 4:293–333
- Castro de la Mata, R., Bonavia, D. 1980. Two additional cases of lumbrosacral malformations and spina bifida in a Peruvian Preceramic child. *Curr. Anthropol.* 21:515–16
- Chauchat, C. 1976. The Paiján complex, Pampa de Cupisnique, Peru. Nawpa Pacha 13(1975):85–96
- Chauchat, C. 1979. Additional observations on the Paiján complex. Nawpa Pacha 16(1978):51-64
- Chávez, S. J. 1982. Notes on some stone sculpture from the northern Lake Titicaca Basin. Nawpa Pacha 19:79–92
- 52. Chávez, S. J. 1985. Ofrendas funerarias

dentro de los límites meridionales del territorio Huari en el Departamento del Cuzco. *Diálogo Andino* 4:179-202
53. Chávez, S. J., Mohr Chávez, K. L.

- Chávez, S. J., Mohr Chávez, K. L. 1976. A carved stela from Taraco, Puno, Peru and the definition of an early style of stone sculpture from the altiplano of Peru and Bolivia. Nawpa Pacha 13:45– 84
- 54. Choy, E. 1979. Antropología e Historia. Lima: Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos
- 55. Cohen, M. N. 1978. Population pressure and origins of agriculture: an archaeological example from the coast of Peru. In Advances in Andean Archaeology, ed. D. L. Browman, pp. 91–132. The Hague: Mouton
- Cohen, M. N. 1979. Archaeological plant remains from the Central Coast of Peru. Nawpa Pacha 16:23-50
- Conklin, W. J. 1978. The revolutionary weaving inventions of the Early Horizon. Nawpa Pacha 16:1–12
- Conklin, W. J. 1982. The information system of Middle Horizon quipus. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 385:261–81
- Conklin, W. J. 1985. The architecture of Huaca de los Reyes. See Ref. 79, pp. 139–64
- Conklin, W. J. 1985. Pucara and Tiahuanaco tapestry: time and style in a sierra weaving tradition. *Nawpa Pacha* 21:1–44
- Conrad, G. 1977. Chiquitoy Viejo: an Inca administrative center in the Chicama Valley, Peru. J. Field Archaeol. 4(1):1-18
- Conrad, G. W. 1981. Cultural materialism, split inheritance, and the expansion of Peruvian empires. Am. Antiq. 46:3–26
- Conrad, G. W. 1982. The burial platforms of Chan Chan: some social and political implications. See Ref. 223, pp. 87–117
- 64. Cook, A. 1983. Aspects of state ideology in Huari and Tiahuanaco iconography: the Central Deity and Sacrificer. See Ref. 314, pp. 161–85
- Cordy-Collins, A. 1977. Chavin art: its shamanic/hallucinogenic origins. In Pre-Columbian Art History, ed. A. Cordy-Collins, J. Stern, pp. 353–62. Palo Alto: Peek Publications
- Cordy-Collins, A. 1979. Cotton and the Staff God: analysis of an ancient Chavin textile. See Ref. 304, pp. 51–60
- 67. Cordy-Collins, A. 1982. Precolumbian Art History. Palo Alto: Peek
- Czwarno, R. M. 1985. Trace elements in interaction: three cases from northern Peru. See Ref. 351, pp. 67–85
- 69. D'Altroy, T. N., Earle, T. K. 1985.

Staple finance, wealth finance, and storage in the Inka political economy. *Curr. Anthropol.* 26:187–206

- Dagget, R. E. 1985. The Early Horizon– Early Intermediate Period transition: a view from the Nepeña and Virú valleys. See Ref. 156, pp. 41–65
 Day, K. C. 1982. Storage and labor
- Day, K. C. 1982. Storage and labor service: a production and management design in the Andean area. See Ref. 223, pp. 333–49
- Deza, J. M. 1986. El hombre temprano de Lurín. See Ref. 123, pp. 65–80
 Dillehay, T. D. 1977. Tawantinsuyu
- Dillehay, T. D. 1977. Tawantinsuyu integration of the Chillón Valley, Peru: a case of Inca geo-political mastery. J. *Field Archaeol.* 4(4):397–405
- Dillehay, T. D. 1979. Pre-Hispanic resource sharing in the Central Andes. *Science* 204:24–31
- Dillehay, T. C., Netherly, P. J. 1983. Exploring the Upper Zaña Valley of Peru. Archaeology 37:23–30
- Donkin, R. A. 1979. Agricultural Terracing in the New World. Viking Fund Publ. Anthropol. 56. New York: Wenner-Gren Found. Anthropol. Research, Inc.
- 77. Donnan, C. B. 1976. Moche art and iconography. UCLA Latin American Center Publication 33
- Donnan, C. B. 1984. La caza de venado en el arte mochica. Rev. Mus. Nac. 46:235-50
- Donnan, C. B., ed. 1985. Early Ceremonial Architecture in the Andes. Washington DC: Dumbarton Oaks
- Donnan, C. B., Cock, G. A. 1986. Excavaciones en Pacatnamú. Rev. Mus. Nac. 47:53-72
- Donnan, C. B., Mackey, C. J. 1978. Ancient Burial Patterns of the Moche Valley, Peru. Austin: Univ. Texas Press
- Donnan, C. B., McClelland, D. 1979. *The Burial Theme in Moche Iconography.* Washington, DC: Dumbarton Oaks, Trustees for Harvard Univ.
- Dricot, J. M. 1979. Descubrimiento de dos esqueletos humanos asociados a la cultura Paijanense. See Ref. 199, pp. 9–15
- Dwyer, E. B. 1979. Early Horizon tapestry from South Coastal Peru. See Ref. 304, pp. 61–82
- Dwyer, J. P. 1979. The chronology and iconography of Paracas-style textiles. See Ref. 304, pp. 105–27
- Earle, T., D'Altroy, T. 1982. Storage facilities and state finance in the Upper Mantaro Valley, Peru. In *Contexts for Prehistoric Exchange*, ed. J. Ericson, R. Earle, pp. 265–90. New York: Academic

- Earle, T. K., D'Altroy, T. N., LeBlanc, C. J., Hastorf, C. A., Levine, T. Y. 1980. Changing settlement patterns in the Upper Mantaro Valley, Peru. J. New World Archaeol. 4(1):1–49
- Earls, J., Silverblatt, I. 1981. Sobre la instrumentación de la cosmología Inca en el sitio arqueológico de Moray. See Ref. 170, pp. 443–73
 Eling, H. H. Jr. 1986. Pre-Hispanic
- Eling, H. H. Jr. 1986. Pre-Hispanic irrigation sources and systems in the Jequetepeque Valley, northern Peru. See Ref. 200, pp. 130–49
- Engel, F. 1984. Prehistoric Andean Ecology: Man, Settlement and Environment in the Andes. Chilca. Vol. 4. New York: Humanities Press
- Erickson, C. L. 1985. Applications of prehistoric Andean technology: experiments in raised field agriculture, Huatta, Lake Titicaca, 1981–2. In Prehistoric Intensive Agriculture in the Tropics, ed. I. S. Farrington, pp. 209– 32. Oxford: BAR Int. Ser. 232
- 92. Espinoza Soriano, W. 1978. Los Modos de Producción en el Imperio de los Incas. Lima: Editorial Mantaro-Grafital
- 93. Farrington, I. 1983. Prehistoric intensive agriculture: preliminary notes on river canalization in the sacred valley of the Incas. In Drained Field Agriculture in Central and South America, ed. J. P. Darch, pp. 221–36. BAR Int. Ser. 189
- Farrington, I., Park, C. C. 1978. Hydraulic engineering and irrigation culture in the Moche Valley, Peru: c. AD 1250– 1532. J. Field Archaeol. 5:255–68
- 95. Feldman, R. A. 1983. From maritime chiefdom to agricultural state in Formative coastal Peru. In Civilization in the Ancient Americas: Essays in Honor of Gordon R. Willey, ed. R. Leventhal, A. Kolata, pp. 289–310. Albuquerque: Univ. New Mexico Press
- Feltham, J. 1984. The Lurin Valley Project—some results for the Late Intermediate and Late Horizon. See Ref. 148, pp. 45–73
- Flores, I. F. 1981. Investigaciones arqueológicas en la Huaca Juliana, Miraflores, Lima. *Bol. Lima* 13:2–7
- Fung, R., Williams, C. 1979. Exploraciones y excavaciones en el valle de Sechín, Casma. Rev. del Mus. Nac. 43(1977):111-55
- 99. Gasparini, G., Margolies, L. 1980. Inca Architecture, transl. P. Lyon. Bloomington: Indiana Univ. Press
- Gero, J. M. 1986. Cambios en el valor de las piedras preciosas en la prehistoria del Perú. See Ref. 123 pp. 113–19
- 101. Golte, J. 1985. Los recolectores de cara-

coles en la cultura Moche (Perú). Indiana 10:355-69

- Gonzáles, J. A. 1984. La arquitectura y cerámica Killke del Cusco. See Ref. 148, pp. 189–204
- 103. González, E. C., Bragayrac, E. D. 1986. El templo mayor de Wari: Ayacucho. Bol. Lima 47:9–20
- 104. González, E. C., Cosmopolis, J., Levano, J. 1982. La Ciudad Inca de Vilcashuman. Ayacucho: Univ. Nacional de San Cristóbal de Huamanga
- 105. Gordon, R. B. 1985. Laboratory evidence for the use of metal tools at Machu Picchu (Peru) and environs. J. Archaeol. Sci. 12:311-27
- 106. Grieder, T. 1976. A dated sequence of building and pottery at Las Haldas. Nawpa Pacha 13:99–112
- 107. Grieder, T. 1978. The Art and Archaeology of Pashash. Austin: Univ. Texas Press
- Grieder, T., Bueno, A. 1985. Ceremonial architecture at La Galgada. See Ref. 79, pp. 93–109
- 109. Grossman, J. W. 1985. Demographic change and economic transformation in the south central highlands of pre-Huari Peru. Nawpa Pacha 21:45–126
- Haas, J. 1982. The Evolution of the Prehistoric State. New York: Columbia Univ. Press
- 111. Haas, J. 1985. Excavations on Huaca Grande: an initial view of the elite of Pampa Grande, Peru. J. Field Archaeol. 12(4):391–409
- 112. Hastorf, C. A. 1986. Agricultura, alimentación, y economia de los Wanka durante la epoca Inka. See Ref. 123, pp. 65–80
- 113. Hastorf, C. A., DeNiro, M. 1985. Reconstruction of prehistoric plant production and cooking practices by a new isotopic method. *Nature* 315:429–31
- 114. Hecker, W., Hecker, G. 1982. Pacatnamú Vorspanische Stadt in Nord Peru. Munich: Verlag C. H. Beck
- 115. Hey, G. 1984. Early occupation of the Huillca Raccay promontory site, Cusichaca: archaeological evidence. See Ref. 148, pp. 291–306
- Hocquenghem, A. M. 1979. L'iconographie Mochica et les rites de purifaction. Baessler Arch. (NF) 27:211-52
- 117. Hocquenghen, A. M., Lyon, P. J. 1981. A class of anthropomorphic supernatural females in Moche iconography. *Nawpa Pacha* 18:27–48
- Huaypaya, C. 1978. Vegetales como elementos antisísmicos en estructuras prehispánicas. Arqueol. PUC 19/20:27– 38

- 119. Hurtado de Mendoza, L. 1984. Patrones prehispánicos de uso de diversos tipos de piedra en la región del río Cunas, Huancayo. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 46:39–53
- 120. Hyslop, J. 1977. Chulpas of the Lupaca zone of the Peruvian high plateau. J. *Field Archaeol.* 4:149–70
- 120a. Hyslop, J. 1977. Hilltop cities in Peru. Archaeology 30(4):218–26
- 121. Hyslop, J. 1985. The Inka Road System. New York: Academic
- 122. Hyslop, J. 1985. Inkawasi the New Cuzco; Cañete, Lunahuaná, Peru. BAR Int. Ser. 234
- 123. Iriarte, F. E., ed. 1986. Actas y Trabajos, VI Congreso Peruano: Hombre y Cultura Andina. Lima: Univ. Inca Garcilaso de la Vega
- Isbell, W. H. 1976. Cosmological order expressed in prehistoric ceremonial centers. Act. 42 Cong. Int. Am. 4:269–99
- Isbell, W. H. 1977. The rural foundation for urbanism. *Illinois Stud. Anthropol.* 10:1–188
- 126. Isbell, W. H. 1978. Environmental perturbations and the origin of the Andean state. In Social Archaeology, ed. C. L. Redman, M. J. Berman, E. V. Curtin, W. T. Langhorn, Jr., N. M. Versaggi, J. C. Wanser, pp. 303–13. New York: Academic
- 127. Isbell, W. H. 1978. The prehistoric ground-drawings of Peru. Sci. Am. 238:140-53
- Isbell, W. H. 1985. El origen del estado en el valle de Ayacucho. *Rev. Andina* 3(1):57-106
- Isbell, W. H., Schreiber, K. 1978. Was Wari a state? Am. Antiq. 48(3):372– 89
- 130. Jiménez Borja, A. 1985. Introducción a la cultura Moche. In *Moche-Culturas Precolombinas*, ed. J. A. de Lavalle, pp. 17–51. Lima: Banco de Crédito del Perú
- Jones, J. 1979. Mochica works of art in metal. In *Pre-Columbian Metallurgy of South America*, ed. E. P. Benson, pp. 53-104. Washington, DC: Dumbarton Oaks
- 132. Julien, C. J. 1981. A late burial from Cerro Azoguini, Puno. Nawpa Pacha 19:129–48
- 133. Julien, C. J. 1983. Hatunqolla: A View of Inca Rule from the Lake Titicaca Region. Univ. Calif. Publ. Anthropol. 15
- 134. Julien, M. D. 1981. La industria osea de Telarmachay, Período Formativo. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 44:69–93
- Kano, C. 1979. The origins of the Chavin culture. Dumbarton Oaks Stud. Pre-Columbian Art Archaeol. 22:1–87

- Kauffmann, F. 1979. Sechín: ensayo de arqueología iconográfica. Arqueológicas 18:101–42
- 137. Kauffmann, F. 1980. Los Pinchudos: exploraciones de ruinas intactas en la selva. Bol. Lima 7:26–31
- Kauffmann, F. 1985. Pasado y presente de la "arqueologia peruana". Bol. Lima 39:25-34
- Kaulicke, P. 1976. El Formativo de Pacopampa. Lima: Sem. Hist. Rural Andina, Univ. Nac. Mayor de San Marcos
- 140. Kaulicke, P. 1980. Der Abri Uchkumachay und seine zeitliche Stellung innerhalf die lithischen Perioden Perus. *Beitr. Allg. Vgl. Archaeol.* 2:429–58
- 141. Kaulicke, P. 1983. Graver von Ancon, Peru: Nach den Arbeiten von W. Reiss/ Stubel, Max Uhle, R. Ravines G. R. Willey and C. Huapaya. Materialen Zur Allgemeinen und Vergleichenden Archaologie, Vol. 7. Munchen: C. H. Beck
- 142. Kaulicke, P. 1981. Keramik der frühen Initial-Periode aus Pandanche, Dpt. Cajamarca, Peru. Beitr. Allg. Vgl. Archaeol. 3:363–89
- 143. Kautz, R. R., Keatinge, R. W. 1977. Determining site function: a north Peruvian coastal example. Am. Antiq. 42:86-97
- 144. Keatinge, R. 1980. Archaeology and development: the Tembladera sites of the Peruvian North Coast. J. Field Archaeol. 7:467–75
- 145. Keatinge, R., Conrad, G. W. 1983. Imperialist expansion in Peruvian prehistory: Chimu administration of a conquered territory. J. Field Archaeol. 10:255–83
- 146. Kendall, A. 1976. Preliminary report on the ceramic data and the pre-Inca architectural remains of the (Lower) Urubamba Valley, Cuzco. Baessler Arch. 24:41-159
- 147. Kendall, A. 1984. Archaeological investigations of Late Intermediate Period and Late Horizon Period at Cusichaca, Peru. See Ref. 148, pp. 247–90
- 148. Kendall, A., ed. 1984. Current Archaeological Projects in the Central Andes: Some Approaches and Results. BAR Int. Ser. 210
- Klymyshyn, A. M. U. 1980. Inferencias sociales y funcionales de la arquitectura intermedia. See Ref. 275, pp. 250–66
- Klymyshyn, A. M. U. 1982. Elite compounds in Chan Chan. See Ref. 223, pp. 119–143
- 151. Knapp, G. 1982. Prehistoric flood management on the Peruvian coast: re-

interpreting the "sunken fields" of the Chilca. Am. Antiq. 47:144-54

- Kolata, A. L. 1982. Chronology and settlement growth at Chan Chan. See Ref. 223, pp. 67–85
- Krzanowski, A. 1983. Ecología de asentamientos tempranos en los Andes Septentrionales del Perú. Acta Archaeol. Carpath. 22:245-66
- 153a. Krzanowski, A. 1986. Cayash Prehispánico Wroclaw: Polska Akad. Nauk-Oddzial W. Krakowie
- 154. Kus, J. 1980. La agricultura estatal en la Costa Norte del Perú. Am. Indígena 40:715-29
- 155. Kus, J. S. 1984. The Chicama-moche canal: failure or success? An alternative explanation for an incomplete canal. *Am. Antig.* 49(2):408–15
- 156. Kvietok, D. P., Sandweiss, D. H., eds. 1985. Recent Studies in Andean Prehistory and Protohistory. Ithaca: Cornell Latin Am. Stud. Program
- 157. La Lone, D. 1982. The Inca as a nonmarket economy: supply on command versus supply and demand. In *Contexts* for Prehistoric Exchange, ed. J. Ericson, T. Earle, pp. 291–316. New York: Academic
- 158. Lapiner, A. 1976. Pre-Columbian Art of South America. New York: Harry Abrams, Inc. Publ.
- 159. Lathrap, D. W. 1977. Our father the cayman, our mother the gourd: Spinden revisited, or a unitary model for the emergence of agriculture in the New World. See Ref. 287, pp. 713– 52
- 160. Lathrap, D. W. 1982. Complex iconographic features by Olmec and Chavin and some speculations on their possible significance. In *Primer Simposio de Correlaciones Antropológicas Andino-Mesoamericano*, ed. J. Marcos, P. Norton, pp. 301–27. Guayaquil: Escuela Politécnica del Litoral
- Lathrap, D. W. 1985. Jaws: the control of power in the early Nuclear American ceremonial center. See Ref. 79, pp. 241–67
- 162. Lavallée, D. 1979. Telarmachay: campamento de pastores en la puna de Junin del Período Formativo. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 43(1977):61–109
- 163. Lavallée, D., Julien, M. 1976. El habitat prehistórico en la zona de San Pedro de Cajas, Junin. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 41(1975):81–127
- 164. Lavallée, D., Julien, M. 1983. Asto: Curacazgo Prehispánico de los Andes Centrales. Lima: Inst. Estud. Peruanos
- Lavallée, D., Julien, M., Wheeler, J. 1984. Telarmachay: niveles precerá-

micos de ocupación. Rev. Mus. Nac. 46:55-127

- 166. Lechtman, H. N. 1976. A metallurgical site survey in the Peruvian Andes. J. Field Archaeol. 3(1):1–42
- 167. Lechtman, H. 1980. The Central Andes: metallurgy without iron. In *The Coming* of the Age of Iron, ed. T. Wertime, J. Muhly, pp. 267–334. New Haven: Yale Univ. Press
- Lechtman, H. N. 1981. Copper-arsenic bronzes from the north Coast of Peru. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 376:77–122
- Lechtman, H. N. 1984. Andean value systems and the development of prehistoric metallurgy. *Technol. Cult.* 25(1):1–36
- 170. Lechtman, H., Soldi, A. M. 1981. La Tecnología en el Mundo Andino, Tomo I: Subsistencia y Mensuración. México: Univ. Nac. Autónoma de México
- 171. Lechtman, H., Erlij, A., Barry, E. J. 1982. New perspectives on Moche metallurgy: techniques of gilding copper at Loma Negra, northern Peru. Am. Antiq. 47(1):3-30
- 172. Lennon, T. 1984. Pattern analysis of Prehispanic raised fields of Lake Titicaca. In Drained Field Agriculture in Central and South America, ed. J. P. Darch, pp. 183-99. BAR Int. Ser. 189
- 173. Lorenzo, J. L. 1981. Archaeology south of the Rio Grande. World Archaeol. 13(2):190–208
- 174. Lumbreras, L. G. 1977. Excavaciones en el Templo Antiguo de Chávin (sector R); informe de la Sexta Campaña. Nawpa Pacha 15:1–38
- 175. Lumbreras, L. G. 1978. Acerca de la aparición del estado Inka. In *El Hombre* y la Cultura Andina, ed. R. Matos, pp. 101-9. Lima: Univ. Nac. Mayor de San Marcos
- 176. Lumbreras, L. G. 1981. Arqueología de la America Andina. Lima: Editorial Milla Batres
- 177. Lumbreras, L. G. 1981. La Arqueología Como Ciencia Social. 2nd ed. Lima: Ediciones PEISA
- 178. Lumbreras, L., González, C., Lietaer, B. 1976. Acerca de la función del sistema hidráulica de Chavín. *Investigaciones de Campo No. 2.* Lima: Mus. Nac. Antropol. Arqueol.
- 179. Lynch, T. F. 1980. Guitarrero Cave: Early Man in the Andes. New York: Academic
- 180. Lynch, T. F. 1981. Zonal complementarity in the Andes. In Networks of the Past: Regional Interaction in Archaeology, ed. P. Francis, F. J. Kense, P. G. Duke, pp. 221-31. Calgary: Archaeol. Assoc. Univ. Calgary

- 181. Lynch, T. F., Gillespie, R., Gowlett, A. J., Hedges, R. E. 1985. Chronology of Guitarrero Cave, Peru. Science 229: 864–67
- 182. Lyon, P. J. 1979. Female supernaturals in ancient Peru. Nawpa Pacha 16:95– 140
- 183. MacKenzie, J. 1985. Ancient frontiers, boundaries and defence: great walls and little walls in northern Peru. See Ref. 351, pp. 87–93
- 184. Macera, P. 1977. Trabajos de Historia 1. Lima: Inst. Nac. Cult.
- 185. Macera, P. 1983. *Historia del Perú:* 1 Secundaria. Lima: Editorial Krakipu
- Mackey, C. J. 1982. The Middle Horizon as viewed from the Moche Valley. See Ref. 223, pp. 321–31
 Mackey, C. J. 1986. La cerámica Chimu
- Mackey, C. J. 1986. La cerámica Chimu a fines del Horizonte Medio. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 47:73–91
- Mackey, C. J., Hastings, C. 1982. Moche murals from the Pyramid of the Moon. See Ref. 67, pp. 293–312
- Mackey, C. J., Klymyshyn, A. M. 1982. Construction and labor organization in the Chimu empire. Nawpa Pacha 19:99–114
- 190. MacNeish, R. S. 1977. The beginning of agriculture in central Peru. See Ref. 287, pp. 753–80
- 191. MacNeish, R. S., Cook, A. G., Lumbreras, L. G., Vierra, R. K., Nelken-Terner, A. 1981. Prehistory of the Ayacucho Basin, Peru, II: Excavations and Chronology. Ann Arbor: Univ. Michigan Press
- 192. MacNeish, R. S., Vierra, R. K., Nelken-Terner, A., Phagan, C. J. 1980. Prehistory of the Ayacucho Basin, Peru III: Nonceramic Artifacts. Ann Arbor: Univ. Michigan Press
- 193. Malpass, M. A. 1983. The Preceramic occupation of the Casma Valley, Peru. See Ref. 314, pp. 1–20
- Malpass, M. A. 1986. Late Prehispanic terracing at Chijra in the Colca Valley, Peru: preliminary report. See Ref. 315, pp. 19–34
- 195. Marcus, J., Matos, R., Rostworowski, M. 1986. Arquitectura Inca de Cerro Azul, valle de Cañete. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 47:125-38
- 196. Matos, R. 1976. Prehistoria y ecología humana en las punas de Junin. Rev. Mus. Nac. 41:37–80
- 197. Matos, R. 1978. The cultural and ecological context of the Mantaro Valley during the Formative Period. In Advances in Andean Archaeology, ed. D. L. Browman, pp. 307-25. The Hague: Mouton Publishers
- 198. Matos, R., ed. 1979. Arqueología Per-

uana: Investigaciones Arqueológicas en el Perú 1976. Lima: Cent. Proyección Cristiana

- 199. Matos, R. 1986. La formación professional del arqueólogo en el Perú. Bol. Lima 46:7–15
- 200. Matos, R., Turpin, S. A., Eling, H. H. Jr., eds., 1986. Andean Archaeology: Papers in Memory of Clifford Evans. Los Angeles: UCLA Inst. Archaeol. Monogr. XXVII
- Matos, R., Williams, C. 1986. Preservación y promoción del patrimonio monumental. In *Patrimonio Cultural del Peru: Balance y Perspectivas*, pp. 37– 74. Lima: FOMCIENCIAS
- Matsuzawa, T. 1978. The formative site of Las Haldas, Peru: architecture and chronology. Am. Antiq. 43:652– 73
- McEwan, G. 1984. Investigaciones en la cuenca del Lucre, Cusco. Gaceta Arqueol. Andina 9:12–15
- 204. Meddens, F. 1984. A report on the archaeology of the Chicha-Soras Valley in the southern highlands of Peru. See Ref. 148, pp. 133–51
- 205. Mejía Xesspe, T., Tello, J. C. 1979. Paracas II Parte. Cavernas y Necropolis. Lima: Univ. Nac. Mayor de San Marcos
- 206. Menzel, D. 1976. Pottery Style and Society in Ancient Peru: Art as a Mirror of History in the Ica Valley, 1350–1570. Berkeley: Univ. Calif. Press
- Menzel, D. 1977. The Archaeology of Ancient Peru and the Work of Max Uhle. Berkeley: R. H. Lowie Mus. Anthropol., Univ. Calif., Berkeley
 Miller, G. 1984. Deer hunters, and Ila-
- Miller, G. 1984. Deer hunters, and llama herders: animal species selection at Chavin. See Ref. 35, pp. 282–87
 Mohr, K. L. 1981. The archaeology of
- Mohr, K. L. 1981. The archaeology of Marcavalle, an Early Horizon site in the Valley of Cuzco, Peru. Baessler Arch. 29
- Mohr, K. L. 1986. Early Tiahuanacorelated burners from Cuzco, Peru. *Diálogo Andino* 4:137–78
- Moore, J. 1982. Chimu socio-economic organization: recent data from Manchan, Casma Valley, Peru. Nawpa Pacha 19:115–28
- 212. Moorehead, E. L. 1979. Highland Inca architecture in adobe. *Nawpa Pacha* 16:65–94
- Morales, D. 1977. Excavaciones en Las Salinas de San Blas. Sem. Arqueól. 1:27-48.
- Morales, D. 1979. Prospección Arqueológica en Tacabamba. See Ref. 198, pp. 49–64
- 215. Morales, D. 1982. Cerámica Pacopampa

y mitología del dios felino. Bol. Lima 19:45-53

- Morris, C. 1978. The archaeological study of Andean exchange. Act. 42 Cong. Int. Am. 4:19–29
- Morris, C. 1981. Tecnología y organización inca del almacenamiento de víveres en la sierra. See Ref. 170, pp. 327–75
- Morris, C. 1982. The infrastructure of Inka control in the Peruvian central highlands. In *The Inca and Aztec States*, ed. G. A. Collier, R. I. Rosaldo, J. D. Wirth, pp. 153–71. New York: Academic
- Morris, C., Thompson, D. 1985. Huánuco Pampa: an Inca City and its Hinterland. London: Thames and Hudson Ltd.
- 220. Moseley, M. E. 1983. Patterns of settlement and preservation in the Viru and Moche valleys. In *Prehistoric Settlement Patterns*, ed. E. Z. Vogt, R. Leventhal, pp. 423–42. Albuquerque: Univ. N. Mexico Press
- Moseley, M. E. 1983. Central Andean civilization. In Ancient South Americans, ed. J. D. Jennings, pp. 179–239. San Francisco: Freeman
- Moseley, M. E. 1983. The good old days were better: agrarian collapse and tectonics. Am. Anthropol. 85(4):773-99
- 223. Moseley, M. E., Day, K., eds. 1982. Chan Chan: Andean Desert City. Albuquerque: Univ. N. Mex. Press; Santa Fe: Sch. Am. Res.
- 224. Mujica, E. 1979. Excavaciones en Pucara, Puno. See Ref. 198, pp. 183–97
- 225. Mujica, E. 1985. Altiplano-coast relationships in the South-Central Andes: from indirect to direct complementarity. In Andean Ecology and Civilization: An Interdisciplinary Perspective on Andean Ecological Complementarity, ed. S. Masuda, I. Shimada, C. Morris, pp. 103-40. Tokyo: Univ. Tokyo Press
- 226. Murra, J. V. 1978. Los olleros del Inka: hacia una historia y arqueología de Qolla-suyu. In Historia, Problema y Promesa: Homenaje a Jorge Basadre I, pp. 415-23. Lima: Univ. Católica del Perú
- 227. Murra, J. V., Morris, C. 1976. Dynastic oral tradition, administration records, and archaeology in the Andes. *World Archaeol.* 7(3):269–79
- 228. Netherly, P. J. 1984. The management of late Andean irrigation systems on the North Coast of Peru. Am. Antiq. 49:227-54
- Netherly, P. J., Dillehay, T. D. 1986. Duality in public architecture in the Upper Zaña Valley, northern Peru. See Ref. 315, pp. 85–97

- Nials, F. L., Deeds, E. E., Moseley, M. E., Pozorski, S., Pozorski, T., Feldman, R. 1979. El Niño: the catastrophic flooding of coastal Peru. *Field Mus. Nat. Hist. Bull.* 50(7):4–14; 50(8):4–10
- Niles, S. A. 1981. Pumamarca: a Late Intermediate Period site near Ollantaytambo. Nawpa Pacha 18:49–62
- Niles, S. A. 1983. Style and function in Inca agricultural works near Cuzco. Nawpa Pacha 20:163-82
- Nuñez, A. 1986. Petroglifos del Perú. 4 vols. La Habana: Editorial Científico-Técnica
- Oberti, I. 1983. Cusco arqueológico y etnohistórico. Una introducción bibliográfica. *Rev. Andina* 1(2):443–74
- Ortloff, C. R., Moseley, M. E., Feldman, R. A. 1982. Hydraulic engineering aspects of the Chimu Chicama-Moche Intervalley Canal. Am. Antiq. 48(2): 375–89
- 236. Osborn, A. J. 1977. Strandlopers, mermaids and other fairy tales: ecological determinants of marine resource utilization—the Peruvian case. In For Theory Building in Archaeology, ed. L. R. Binford, pp. 157–205. New York: Academic
- 237. Ossa, P. P. 1978. Paijan in early Andean prehistory: the Moche Valley evidence. In Early Man in America from a Circum-Pacific Perspective, ed. A. L. Bryan. Edmonton: Occas. Pap. 1, Dept. Anthropol., Univ. Alberta
- Paredes, P. 1986. El Panel-Pachacamac: un nuevo patrón de enterramiento en la Tablada de Lurín. *Bol. Lima* 44:7–20
- 239. Parsons, J. R. 1978. El complejo hidraúlico de Tunamarca; canales, acueductos y reservorios. In Actas y Trabajos del III Congreso Peruano del Hombre y la Cultura Andina II, ed. R. Matos, pp. 556-66. Lima: Editora Lasontay
- 240. Parsons, J. R., Matos, R. 1978. Asentamientos prehispánicos en el Mantaro, Perú: informe preliminar. In Actas y Trabajos del III Congreso del Hombre y Cultura Andina, ed. R. Matos, II, pp. 540–55. Lima: Editora Lasontay
- Patrucco, R., Tello, R., Bonavia, D. 1983. Parisitological studies of coprolites of pre-Hispanic Peruvian populations. *Curr. Anthropol.* 24(3):393–94
 Patterson, T. C. 1983. The historical
- 242. Patterson, T. C. 1983. The historical development of a coastal Andean social formation in Central Peru, 6000–500 вс. See Ref. 314, pp. 21–37
- 243. Patterson, T. C. 1985. Pachacamac: an Andean oracle under Inca rule. See Ref. 156, pp. 159–75
- 244. Patterson, T. C. 1985. Exploitation and

class formation in the Inca state. Culture 5(1):35-42

- 245. Patterson, T. C. 1985. The Huaca La Florida, Rimac Valley, Peru. See Ref. 79, pp. 59–69
- 246. Patterson, T. C., McCarthy, J. P., Dunn, R. A. 1983. Polities in the Lurín Valley, Peru during the Early Intermediate Period. Nawpa Pacha 20:61-82
- 247. Paul, A., Turpin, S. 1986. The ecstatic shaman theme of Paracas textiles. Archaeology 39:20-27
- 248. Paulsen, A. C. 1976. Environment and empire climatic factors in prehistoric Andean culture change. World Archaeol. 8(2):121-32
- 249. Paulsen, A. C. 1983. Huaca del Loro revisited: the Nasca-Huarpa connection. See Ref. 314, pp. 98-121
- 250. Pearsall, D. M. 1978. Paleoethnobotany in western South America: progress and problems. In Nature and Status of Ethnobotany, ed. R. I. Ford, pp. 389-416. Ann Arbor: Anthropol. Pap. Mus. Anthropol., Univ. Michigan, Vol. 67
- 251. Pearsall, D. M. 1978. Early movement of maize between Mesoamerica and South America. J. Steward Anthropol. Soc. 9(1/2):41-76
- 252. Pearsall, D. M. 1980. Pachamachay ethnobotanical report: plant utilization at a hunting base camp. See Ref. 294, pp. 191-231
- 253. Petersen, G. 1980. Evolución y Desaparición de las Altas Culturas Paracas-Cahuachi (Nasca). Lima: Univ. Nac. Fed. Villarreal
- 254. Pickersgill, B., Heiser, C. B. 1977. Origins and distribution of plants domesticated in the New World tropics. See Ref. 287, pp. 803-35
- 255. Pinilla, J., Garcia, R. 1981. El valle de Tantamayo (síntesis histórica). Bol. Lima 10:31-43
- 256. Pires-Ferreira, J. W., Pires-Ferreira, E., Kaulicke, P. 1976. Prehistoric animal utilization in the central Peruvian Andes. Science 194:149-54
- 257. Plowman, T. 1984. The origin, evolution, and diffusion of coca, Erythroxylum spp., in South and Central America. In Pre-Columbian Plant Migration, ed. D. Stone, pp. 125–63. Cambridge: Pap. Peabody Mus. Archaeol. Ethnol. 76
- 258. Pozorski, S. G. 1979. Prehistoric diet and subsistence of the Moche Valley, Peru. World Archaeol. 11(2):163-84
- 259. Pozorski, S. G. 1982. Subsistence systems in the Chimu state. See Ref. 223, pp. 177–96
- 260. Pozorski, S. G., Pozorski, T. G. 1982. Reassessing the Chicama-Moche In-

tervalley Canal: comments on "Hydraulic aspects of the Chimu Chicama Intervalley Canal". Am. Antiq. 47:851-68 261. Pozorski, S. G., Pozorski, T. G. 1979.

- Alto Salaverry: a Peruvian coastal preceramic site. Ann. Carnegie Mus. 48: 337-75
- 262. Pozorski, S. G., Pozorski, T. G. 1986. Recent excavations at Pampa de las Llamas-Moxeke, a complex Initial period site in Peru. J. Field Archaeol. 13:381-401
- 263. Pozorski, T. G. 1979. The Las Avispas burial platform at Chan Chan, Peru. Ann. Carnegie Mus. 48:119-37
- 264. Pozorski, T. G. 1980. The Early Horizon site of Huaca de los Reyes: societal implications. Am. Antiq. 45:100-10
- 265. Pozzi-Escot, D. 1985. Conchopata: un poblado de especialistas durante el Horizonte Medio. Bull. Inst. Francais Etud. Andines 14:115-29
- 266. Pozzi-Escot, D., Cardoza, C. R. 1986. El Consumo de Camélidos entre el Formativo y Wari en Ayacucho. Lima: Ediciones INDEA
- 267. Proulx, D. A. 1982. Territoriality in the Early Intermediate Period: the case of Moche and Recuay. Nawpa Pacha 20:83-96
- 268. Proulx, D. A. 1985. An Analysis of the Early Cultural Sequence in the Nepeña Valley, Peru. Res. Rep. Number 25, Dept. Anthropol., Univ. Mass.
- 269. Protzen, J.-P. 1985. Inca quarrying and stone cutting. Nawpa Pacha 21:127-52
- 270. Quilter, J. 1985. Architecture and chronology at El Paraiso. J. Field Archaeol. 12:279–97
- 271. Quilter, J., Stocker, T. 1983. Subsistence economics and the origins of Andean complex societies. Am. Anthropol. 85(3):545-62
- 272. Ravines, R. 1977. Excavaciones en Ayapata, Huancavelica, Perú. Ñawpa Pacha 15:49-100
- 273. Ravines, R. 1979. Garagay como arqueología experimental. See Ref. 198, pp. 75–80 274. Ravines, R., ed. 1979. Tecnología An-
- dina. Lima: Inst. Estud. Peruanos
- 275. Ravines, R. 1980. Chanchan: Metrópoli Chimú. Lima: Inst. Estud. Peruanos
- 276. Ravines, R. 1982. Arqueología del Valle Medio del Jequetepeque. Lima: Inst. Nac. Cult.
- 277. Ravines, R. 1982. Panorama de la Arqueología Andina. Lima: Inst. Estud. Peruanos
- 278. Ravines, R. 1983. Prácticas funerarias en Ancón (Segunda Parte). Rev. Mus. Nac. 45:89–166
- 279. Ravines, R. 1983. Nota sobre la in-

vestigación antropológica y arqueológica en el Perú. Rev. Andina 1(2):429-41

- Ravines, R. 1984. Sobre la formación de Chavin: imágenes y símbolos. *Bol. Lima* 35:27–45
- 281. Ravines, R. 1985. Inventario de Monumentos Arqueológicos del Peru: Lima Metropolitana (Primera Aproximación). Lima: Inst. Nac. Cult./Municipal. Lima Metropol.
- Ravines, R., Engelstad, H., Palomino, V., Sandweiss, D. 1984. Materiales arqueológicos de Garagay. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 44(1982):135-233
- Ravines, R., Isbell, W. H. 1976. Garagay: sitio ceremonial temprano en el valle de Lima. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 41:253– 75
- Ravines, R., Matos, A. 1983. Inventario de Monumentos Arqueológicos del Perú: Zona Norte (Primera Aproximación). Lima: Inst. Nac. Cult.
- Raymond, J. S. 1981. The maritime foundations of Andean civilization: a reconsideration of the evidence. Am. Antia. 46:806–21
- Raymond, J. S. 1985. Quechuas and Chunchos: ethnic boundaries in eastern Peru. See Ref. 351, pp. 39–85
- Peru. See Ref. 351, pp. 39–85 287. Reed, C. A., ed. 1977. *The Origins of Agriculture*. The Hague: Mouton
- Reichert, R. X. 1982. Moche iconography, the highland connection. See Ref. 67, pp. 279–91
- Reinhard, J. 1985. Chavin and Tiahuanaco: a new look at two Andean ceremonial centers. *Natl. Geogr. Res.* 1(3):395–422
- 290. Reinhard, J. 1985. The Nazca Lines: a New Perspective on their Origin and Meaning. Lima: Editorial Los Pinos
- 291. Richardson, J. B. III. 1978. Early man on the Peruvian North Coast, early maritime exploitation and the Pleistocene and Holocene environment. In Early Man in America from a Circum-Pacific Perspective, ed. A. L. Bryan, pp. 274–89. Edmonton: Archaeol. Res. Int.
- 292. Richardson, J. B. III. 1981. Modeling the development of sedentary maritime economies on the coast of Peru. Ann. Carnegie Mus. Nat. Hist. 50:139–50
- 293. Richardson, J. B. III. 1983. The Chira beach ridges, sea level change and the origins of maritime economies on the Peruvian coast. Ann. Carnegie Mus. 52:265-76
- 294. Rick, J. W. 1980. Prehistoric Hunters of the High Andes. New York: Academic
- 295. Ríos, M., Retamozo, E. 1978. Objetos de la Isla de San Lorenzo. Arqueológicas 17

- 296. Ríos, M., Retamozo, E. 1982. Vasos Ceremoniales de Chan Chan. Lima: ICPNA
- 297. Roe, P. G. 1978. Recent discoveries in Chavin art: some speculations on methodology and significance in the analysis of a figural style. *El Dorado* 3(1):1–41
- Roe, P. G. 1982. Cupisnique pottery: a cache from Tembladera. See Ref. 67, pp. 231–53
 Rollins, H. B., Richardson, J. B. III,
- 299. Rollins, H. B., Richardson, J. B. III, Sandweiss, D. H. 1986. The birth of El Niño: Geoarchaeological evidence and implications. *Geoarchaeology* 1:3–15
- 300. Rosas, H. 1976. Investigaciones arqueológicas en la cuenca del Chotano, Cajamarca. Act. 41 Cong. Int. Am. 3:564-78
- Roselló, L., Huaypaya, C., Mazzotti, L. 1985. Rayas y figuras en la Pampa de Canto Grande. *Bol. Lima* 39:41–58
- 302. Rostworowski, M. 1977. Coastal fishermen, merchants, and artisans in pre-Hispanic Peru. In *The Sea in the Pre-Columbian World*, ed. E. Benson, pp. 167–86. Washington, DC: Dumbarton Oaks
- 303. Rowe, A. P. 1984. Costumes and Featherwork of the Lords of Chimor: Textiles from Peru's North Coast. Washington DC: The Textile Museum
- 304. Rowe, A. P., Benson, E., Schaffer, A., eds. 1979. The Junius B. Bird Pre-Columbian Textile Conference. Washington DC: The Textile Museum and Dumbarton Oaks
- 305. Rowe, J. H. 1977. El arte religioso del Cuzco en el Horizonte Temprano. Nawpa Pacha 14:1–20
- 306. Rowe, J. H. 1977. Religión e imperio en el Perú antiguo. Antropol. Andina 2:5– 12
- 307. Rowe, J. H. 1979. An account of the shrines of ancient Cuzco. Nawpa Pacha 17:1–80
- Rowe, J. H. 1979. Standardization in Inca tapestry tunics. See Ref. 304, pp. 239–63
- 309. Ruiz, A. 1976. Hallazgos de Oro, Sillustani, Puno. Publ. Mus. Nac. Antropol. y Arqueol. Lima. Ser. Metalurgia 1
- 310. Řuiz, A. 1985. Las chullpas de Vilavila. *Bol. Lima* 40:49–54
- 311. Salazar-Burger, L., Burger, R. L. 1983. La araña en la iconografía del Horizonte Temprano en la costa norte del Perú. Beitr. Allg. Vgl. Archäol. 4:213–53
- Samaniego, R. 1980. Informe sobre los hallazgos en Sechín. Indiana 6:307– 48
- Samaniego, L., Vergara, E., Bischof, H. 1985. New evidence on Cerro

Sechín, Casma Valley, Peru. See Ref. 79, pp. 165–190

- 314. Sandweiss, D. H., ed. 1983. Investigations of the Andean Past. Ithaca: Cornell Latin Am. Stud. Prog.
- 315. Sandweiss, D. H., Kvietok, D. P., eds. 1986. Perspectives on Andean Prehistory and Protohistory. Ithaca: Cornell Latin Am. Stud. Prog.
- 316. Sandweiss, D. H., Rollins, H. B., Richardson, J. B. III. 1983. Landscape alteration and prehistoric human occupation on the north coast of Peru. Ann. Carnegie Mus. 52:277-98
- 317. Santillana, J. I. 1984. La Centinela: un asentimiento Inka-Chincha. Rasgos arquitectónicos, estatales, y locales. Arqueol. Soc. 10:13–32
- 318. Schaedel, R. P. 1978. Early state of the Incas. In *The Early State*, ed. H. Claessen, P. Skalnik, pp. 289–320. The Hague: Mouton
- Schaedel, R. P. 1978. The Huaca Pintada of Illimo. Archaeology 31(1):27–37
- 320. Schaedel, R. P., Shimada, I. 1982. Peruvian archaeology, 1946–80: an analytic overview. World Archaeol. 13: 359–371
- 321. Schaffer, A. 1985. Impressions in metal: reconstructing burial context at Loma Negra, Peru. See Ref. 156, pp. 95–120
- 322. Schjellerup, I. 1986. Chimu Pottery in the Department of Ethnography. Copenhagen: Nat. Mus. Denmark; Corpus Americanensium
- 323. Schreiber, K. J. 1984. Prehistoric roads in the Carahuarazo Valley, Peru. See Ref. 148, pp. 75–94
 324. Shady, R. 1983. La Huaca Maranga del
- 324. Shady, R. 1983. La Huaca Maranga del Período Formativo. *Bol. Mus. Nac.* Antropol. *Arqueol.* 8:27–31
- 325. Shady, R. 1983. La cultura Nievería y la interacción social en el mundo andino en la época Huari. Arqueológicas 19:5–108
- 326. Shady, R., Rosas, H. 1980. El complejo Bagua y el sistema de establecimientos durante el formativo en la sierra norte del Peru. Nawpa Pacha 17:109-42
- 327. Shady, R., Ruiz, A. 1979. Evidence for interregional relationships during the Middle Horizon on the north-central coast of Peru. Am. Antig. 44(4):676–84
- Shimada, I. 1978. Economy of a prehistoric urban context: commodity and labor flow at Moche V Pampa Grande, Peru. Am. Antig. 43(4):569–92
- 329. Shimada, I. 1981. The Batán Grande-La Leche Archaeological Project—the first two seasons. J. Field Archaeol. 8(4): 405-46
- 330. Shimada, I. 1982. Horizontal archipelago and coast-highland interaction in North Peru. In *El Hombre y su Ambiente*

en los Andes Centrales, ed. L. Millones, H. Tomoeda, pp. 137–210. Osaka: Senri Ethnol. Stud. 10

- 331. Shimada, I., Elera, C. G., Shimada, M. J. 1983. Excavaciones efectuadas en el centro de Huaca Lucía-Chólope, del Horizonte Temprano, Batán Grande, costa norte del Peru: 1979–1981. Ar-queológicas 19:109–208
- 332. Shimada, I., Epstein, S., Craig, A. K. 1982. Batán Grande: a prehistoric metallurgical center in Peru. *Science* 216: 952–59
- 333. Shimada, M. 1985. Continuities and changes in patterns of faunal resource utilization: formative through Cajamarca periods. See Ref. 347, pp. 289–310
- 334. Šhimada, M., Shimada, I. 1983. Explotación y manejo de los recursos naturales en Pampa Grande, sitio Moche V. Significado del análisis orgánico. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 45:19–73
- 335. Silva, J. E. 1978. Chavín de Huántar: un complejo multifunctional. Serie Investigaciones No. 1. Lima: Gabinete de Arqueol, Univ. Nac. San Marcos
- 336. Silva, J. E. 1980. Investigaciones arqueológicas en el Perú (1977-1979). In Historia del Peru, Tomo II, Peru Antiquo, ed. J. Mejía Baca, pp. 297-332. Lima: Editorial Juan Mejia Baca
- 337. Silva, J. E., Hirth, K. G., García, R., Pinilla, J. 1983. El formativo en el valle de Rimac: Huachipa-Jicamarca. Arqueol. Soc. 9:2–52
- 338. Śilverman, H. 1985. Cahuachi: simplemente monumental. Bol. Lima 41: 85–95
- 339. Smith, R. T. 1979. The development and role of sunken field agriculture on the Peruvian coast. *Geogr. J.* 145:387– 400
- Smith, C. E. Jr. 1980. Plant remains from Guitarrero Cave. See Ref. 179, pp. 87–119
- 341. Spickard, L. E. 1983. The development of Huari administrative architecture. See Ref. 314, pp. 136–60
- 342. Stothert, K. E. 1980. The Villa Salvador site and the beginnings of the Early Intermediate Period in the Lurín Valley, Peru. J. Field Archaeol. 7:279–95
- 343. Tabío, E. 1977. Prehistoria de la Costa del Perú. Habana: Inst. Cienc. Soc. Acad. Cienc. de Cuba
- 344. Tellenbach, M. 1986. La excavaciones en el asentamiento formativo de Montegrande, Valle de Jequetepeque en el norte del Peru. Mater. Allg. Vgl. Archäeol. 39:1-302
- 345. Terada, K. 1979. Excavations at La Pampa in the North Highlands of Peru, 1975. Report 1 of the Japanese Scientific

Expedition to Nuclear America. Tokyo: Univ. Tokyo Press

- 346. Terada, K., Onuki, Y. 1982. Excavations in the Cajamarca Valley, Peru. 1979. Report of the Japanese Scientific Expedition to Nuclear America. Tokyo: Univ. Tokyo Press
- 347. Terada, K., Onuki, Y. 1985. The Formative Period in the Cajamarca Basin, Peru: Excavations at Huacaloma and Layzón, 1982. Report 3 of the Japanese Scientific Expedition to Nuclear America. Tokyo: Univ. Tokyo Press
- 348. Thatcher, J. P. 1976. A Middle Horizon 1B cache from Huamachuo, north highlands, Peru. Nawpa Pacha 15:101–10
- 349. Thatcher, J. P. 1979. Early ceramic assemblages from Huamachuco, north highlands, Peru. Nawpa Pacha 17:91– 106
- 350. Thompson, L. G., Mosley-Thompson, E., Bolzan, J. F., Koci, B. R. 1985. A 1,500-year record of tropical precipitation in ice cores from the Quelccaya ice cap. Science 229:971–73
- 351. Thompson, M., Garcia, M. T., Kense, F., eds. 1985. Status, Structure and Stratification. Calgary: Archaeol. Assoc. Univ. Calgary
- 352. Topic, J. R. 1982. Lower-class social and economic organization at Chan Chan. See Ref. 223, pp. 145–75
- 353. Topic, J. R. 1986. A sequence of monumental architecture from Huamachuco. See Ref. 315, pp. 63–83
- Topic, J. R., Topic, T. 1978. Prehistoric fortification systems of northern Peru. *Curr. Anthropol.* 19(3):618–19
- 355. Topic, J. R., Topic, T. L. 1983. Coasthighland relations in northern Peru: some observations on routes, networks and scales of interaction. In *Civilizations* in the Ancient Americas: Essays in Honor of Gordon R. Willey, ed. R. Leventhal, A. Kolata, pp. 237–59. Albuquerque: Univ. N. Mexico and Peabody Mus. Archaeol. Ethnol.
- 356. Topic, J. R., Topic, T. L. 1986. El Horizonte Medio en Huamachuco. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 47:13–52
 357. Topic, T. L. 1982. The Early In-
- 357. Topic, T. L. 1982. The Early Intermediate Period and its legacy. See Ref. 223, pp. 255–84
 358. Trigger, B. G. 1984. Alternative archae-
- Trigger, B. G. 1984. Alternative archaeologies: nationalist, colonialist, imperialist. *Man* 19:355–70
- 359. Trimborn, H. 1977. Excavaciones en Sama (Dpto. Tacna, Peru). Indiana 4:171-8
- 360. Trimborn, H. 1979. El Reino de Lambayeque en el Antiquo Peru. St. Augustin, Germany: Hans Volker und

Kult.-Anthropos Inst., Collectanea Inst. Anthropos, Vol. 19

- 361. Trimborn, H. 1985. Apurlec. Indiana 10:407-20
- 362. Truslow, F. J. 1983. Peru's recovery of cultural patrimony. In *Peruvian Antiquities: A Manual for United States Customs*, ed. M. Azoy, pp. 7–9. Washington, DC: Org. Am. States
- 363. Tushingham, A. D., Franklin, U. M., Toogood, C. 1979. Studies in Peruvian Metal-working. History, Technology and Art Monograph 3, Toronto: Royal Ontario Mus., Alger Press
- Ubbelohde-Doering, H. 1983. Vorspanische Graber von Pacatnamú, Nordperu. Mater. Allg. Vgl. Archäol. 22
- 365. Ungent, D., Pozorski, S. G., Pozorski, T. G. 1983. Restos arqueológicos de tubérculos de papas y camotes del Valle de Casma en el Perú. *Bol. Lima* 23:28– 44
- Urbano, H. 1982. Representaciones colectivas y arqueología mental en los Andes. Allpanchis Phuturinga 20:33–83
- Urton, G. 1982. Astronomy and calendrics on the coast of Peru. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 385:231–47
- 368. Valcarcel, L. E. 1981. *Memorias*. Lima: IEP
- Valencia, A. 1982. Escultura antropomorfa de Minaspata (Cuzco). Nawpa Pacha 19:93–97
- 370. Vescelius, G. S. 1981. Early and/or notso-early man in Peru: the case of Guitarrero Cave. Part 1. Q. Rev. Archaeol. 2(1):13–15; 2(2):8–13, 19–20
- 371. Vreeland, J. M. Jr., Cockburn, A. 1980. Mummies of Peru. In *Mummies, Disease and Ancient Cultures*, ed. A. Cockburn, E. Cockburn, pp. 135–74. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Wallace, D. T. 1979. The process of weaving development on the Peruvian coast. See Ref. 304, pp. 27–50
 Wallace, D. T. 1985. Paracas in Chin-
- Wallace, D. T. 1985. Paracas in Chincha and Pisco: a reappraisal of the Ocucaje sequence. See Ref. 156, pp. 67– 94
- 374. Wallace, D. T. 1986. The Topará tradition: an overview. See Ref. 315, pp. 35-48
- 375. Watanabe, L. 1979. Arquitectura de la Huaca los Reyes. See Ref. 198, pp. 17– 35
- 376. Watanabe, L. 1984. Cerro Baúl: un santuario de filiación Wari en Moquegua. Bol. Lima 32:40–49
- 377. Weir, G. H., Bonavia, D. 1985. Coprolitos y dieta del Precerámico Tardío de la costa peruana. Bull. Inst. Francais Etud. Andines 14:85–140

- 378. Weir, G. H., Dering, P. 1986. The lomas of Paloma: human-environment relations in a Central Peruvian fog oasis: archaeobotany and palynology. See Ref. 200, pp. 18–44
- Weir, G., Eling, H. H. Jr. 1986. Pollen evidence for economic plants utilization in Pre-Hispanic agricultural fields of Jequetepeque Valley, northern Peru. See Ref. 200, pp. 150-62
 West, M. 1979. Early watertable farm-
- West, M. 1979. Early watertable farming on the north coast of Peru. Am. Antig. 44(1):138-44
- West, M., Whitaker, T. W. 1979. Prehistoric cultivated cucurbits from the Viru Valley, Peru. *Econ. Bot.* 33:275– 79
- 382. Wheeler, J. C. 1984. On the origin and early development of camelid pastoralism in the Andes. In Animals and Archaeology: Early Herders and Their Flocks, ed. J. Clutton-Brock, C. Grigson, pp. 395–410. BAR Int. Ser. 202. Oxford: BAR
- 383. Williams, C. 1980. Complejos de pirámides con planta en U, patrón arquitectónico de la costa central. *Rev. Mus. Nac.* 44:95–110
- 384. Williams, C., Pineda, J. 1985. Desde Ayacucho hasta Cajamarca: formas arquitectónicas con filiación Wari. Bol. Lima 40:55-61

- 385. Wilson, D. J. 1981. Of maize and men: a critique of the maritime hypothesis of state origins on the coast of Peru. Am. Anthropol. 83:93-120
- 386. Wilson, D. J. 1983. The origins and development of complex prehispanic society in the lower Santa Valley, Peru: implication for theories of state origins. *J. Anthropol. Res.* 2:20 9–76
- 387. Wing, E. 1977. Animal domestication in the Andes. See Ref. 287, pp. 837–59
- 388. Wright, H. E. Jr. 1984. Late glacial and late Holocene moraines in the Cerros Cuchpanga, central Peru. Q. Res. 21: 275–85
- Wurster, W. W. 1984. Modelos arquitectónicos peruanos. Ensayo de interpretación. Rev. Mus. Nac. 46:253-66
- 389a. Wurster, W. W. 1984. Vorspanische Siedlungen im Topará-Tal, Südperu. Vorbericht über die Survey-Kampagnen 1984. Beitr. Allg. Vgl. Archäeol. 6:453– 82
- 390. Zaki, A. 1983. Cultura Pelón: una desconocida cultura en la Sierra Norte. Bol. Lima 29:13–19
- 391. Zuidema, R. T. 1982. Bureaucracy and systematic knowledge in Andean civilization. In *The Inca and Aztec and Aztec States*, ed. G. A. Collier, R. I. Rosaldo, J. D. Wirth, pp. 419–58. New York: Academic